arXiv:1402.0143v2 [math.QA] 5 Nov 2014

Automorphisms of Niemeier lattices for Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction

Motohiro Ishii*
Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University,
Aramaki aza Aoba 6-3-09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
(e-mail: ishii@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp)

Daisuke Sagaki†
Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,
Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan
(e-mail: sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp)

Hiroki Shimakura‡
Research Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University,
Aramaki aza Aoba 6-3-09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
(e-mail: shimakura@m.tohoku.ac.jp)

Abstract

We classify, up to conjugation, all automorphisms of Niemeier lattices to which we can apply Miyamoto's orbifold construction. Using this classification, we prove that the VOAs obtained in [M] and [SS] are all of holomorphic non-lattice VOAs which we can obtain by applying the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to a Niemeier lattice and its automorphism.

1 Introduction.

In [M], Miyamoto gave a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction for holomorphic vertex operator algebras (VOAs for short), and obtained a new holomorphic VOA of central charge 24 (whose Lie

*M.I. was partially supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Research Fellowships for Young Scientists, and by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up No. 26887002, Japan

†D.S. was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 23740003, Japan.

‡H.S. was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 23540013, Japan, by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 26800001, Japan, and by Grant for Basic Science Research Projects from The Sumitomo Foundation.

algebra of the weight one subspace is of type $E_{6,3}G_{2,1}^3$) by applying his construction to the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)$ and its automorphism of order 3 (which we denote by σ_6 in §2.6). Also, he obtained a holomorphic VOA of central charge 24 whose weight one subspace is identical to $\{0\}$, by applying his $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to the Leech lattice VOA and its fixed-point-free automorphism of order 3 (which we denote by σ_7); this holomorphic VOA is conjecturally isomorphic to the Moonshine VOA $V^\natural$. Then, in [SS], we found another five pairs of a Niemeier lattice and its automorphism of order 3 from which we can obtain new holomorphic VOAs of central charge 24 by Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction (for the definitions of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_5$ in the table below, see §§2.3 – 2.5):

Ref.	Niemeier lattice, Automorphism	Lie algebra structure of the weight one subspace	No. in [S, Table 1]
[SS, §3]	$\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12}),\ \sigma_1$	$A_{2,3}^6$	6
[SS, §4]	$\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6),\ \sigma_2$	$A_{2,3}^6$	6
[SS, §5]	$\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6),\ \sigma_3$	$E_{6,3}G_{2,1}^3$	32
[SS, §6]	$\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6),\ \sigma_4$	$A_{5,3}D_{4,3}A_{1,1}^3$	17
[SS, §7]	$\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4),\ \sigma_5$	$A_{5,3}D_{4,3}A_{1,1}^3$	17
[M, §3.2]	$\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4),\ \sigma_6$	$E_{6,3}G_{2,1}^3$	32
[M, §3.1]	$\Lambda,\ \sigma_7$	$\{0\}$	0

The purpose of this paper is to prove that the VOAs obtained in [M] and [SS] are all of the holomorphic non-lattice VOAs which we can obtain by this method. Namely, we prove that if we apply the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to a Niemeier lattice and its automorphism which is not conjugate to any of the $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_7$ above, then the resulting holomorphic VOA is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a Niemeier lattice (in fact, if two automorphisms are conjugate to each other, then so are the VOAs obtained by the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction; see Remark 4.3.2 (2) below). For this purpose, we classify, up to conjugation, all automorphisms of order 3 of Niemeier lattices to which we can apply the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction.

Let us give an explanation of our result more precisely. Given a Niemeier lattice L (i.e., a positive-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 24) and its automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ of order 3 such that the rank of the fixed-point lattice L^τ of L under τ is divisible by 6 (i.e., $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$), we can obtain a holomorphic VOA of central charge 24, denoted by $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ in this paper, by Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction (see Theorem 4.3.1); this VOA is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-graded, simple current extension of the fixed-point subVOA V_L^τ of the lattice VOA V_L associated to L, under the VOA automorphism of order 3 induced from $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$, which we denote also by $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, V_L$.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 5.1.1 (1)). *If τ is contained in the Weyl group $G_0(L)$ for L (see §2.1), then the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a Niemeier lattice.*

Thus, for our purpose, we may assume that $\tau \notin G_0(L)$ (see (3.1.1)). For each $r = 0$, 6, 12, 18 (recall that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$), denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ the set of conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Aut} L$ which contain elements $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying the conditions that $|\tau| = 3$, $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = r$, and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 3.1.2)**.** *If there exists $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying the conditions that $|\tau| = 3$, $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$, and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$, then the root lattice Q of L is isomorphic to one of the following:*

$$\{0\},\ A_1^{24},\ A_2^{12},\ A_3^8,\ D_4^6,\ A_5^4D_4,\ A_6^4,\ D_6^4,\ E_6^4.$$

For each of these Q's and $r = 0$, 6, 12, 18, the cardinality $\#\mathcal{C}_r$ of the set $\mathcal{C}_r$ is given by the following table.

Q	$\{0\}$	A_1^{24}	A_2^{12}	A_3^8	D_4^6	$A_5^4D_4$	A_6^4	D_6^4	E_6^4
$\#\mathcal{C}_0$	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
$\#\mathcal{C}_6$	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1
$\#\mathcal{C}_{12}$	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
$\#\mathcal{C}_{18}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

In particular, there exists no $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying the conditions that $|\tau| = 3$, $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 18$, and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$. Also, if $Q \neq \{0\}$, and $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in \{0, 6\}$, then τ is conjugate to one of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$.

In the case that $Q = \{0\}$, i.e., $L = \Lambda$ (the Leech lattice), we have the following.

Theorem 3 (Theorem 5.1.1 (2))**.** *Assume that $L = \Lambda$. If $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 0$, then τ is conjugate to σ_7, and hence $(\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau)_1 = \{0\}$. Otherwise, $\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau \cong V_\Lambda$.*

So, let us consider the case that $L \neq \Lambda$. If $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 0$ or 6, then τ is conjugate to one of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$, and hence $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to one of the holomorphic (non-lattice) VOAs obtained in [M] and [SS] (see Theorem 5.1.1 (3a)). In the case that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$, we have the following.

Theorem 4 (Theorem 5.1.1 (3b))**.** *Let $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ be such that $|\tau| = 3$, $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$, and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$. Then, $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau \cong V_L$.*

This paper is organized as follows: In §2, we review Niemeier lattices and their automorphism groups, and then the definitions of the automorphisms $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_7$ introduced in [SS] and [M]. In §3, we prove Theorem 2 above in Theorem 3.1.2, which classifies, up to conjugation, all automorphisms of order 3 of Niemeier lattices to which we can apply Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction. In §4, we briefly review lattice VOAs, twisted modules over lattice VOAs, and Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction. In §5, we prove Theorems 1, 3, and 4 above in Theorem 5.1.1; proofs for parts (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 5.1.1 are given in §5.2, §5.3, and §5.4, respectively.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Professor Masahiko Miyamoto for fruitful comments and discussions. Also, the authors thank the referee for many variable comments.

List of Notation.

$\mathbb{F}_n$	the field of n elements.
$\operatorname{Aut} X$	the automorphism group of X, where X is a lattice, a Lie algebra, or a VOA.
$\operatorname{Sym} X$	the symmetric group on a set X.
$W(Q)$	the Weyl group of a root lattice Q.
$\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$	the cyclic group of order n.
$\mathfrak{S}_n$	the symmetric group of degree n.
$\mathfrak{A}_n$	the alternating group of degree n.
$\lvert g\rvert$	the order of an element g in a group.
$X \preceq Y$	X is a subgroup of Y.
$X \lhd Y$	X is a normal subgroup of Y.
$X : Y$	a split extension of a group Y by a group X.
$X.Y$	an extension of a group Y by a group X.
$\operatorname{Con}(x; G)$	the conjugacy class containing x in a group G.
L^*	the dual lattice of a lattice L.
L^τ	the fixed-point sublattice of a lattice L under $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$.
$\operatorname{Ni}(Q)$	the Niemeier lattice whose root lattice is Q.
C_Q	the set of indecomposable components of a root lattice Q.
Λ	the Leech lattice.
V_L	the lattice vertex operator algebra associated to a lattice L.
$\mathfrak{g}(X)$	the semisimple Lie algebra of type X.

2 Review.

In this section, we review Niemeier lattices and their automorphism groups in §2.1, and then the definition of the automorphisms σ_1, σ_2, …, σ_7 introduced in [SS] and [M].

2.1 Niemeier lattices and their automorphism groups. A Niemeier lattice is, by definition, a positive-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 24; for the classification of Niemeier lattices, see [CS, Table 16.1]. For a Niemeier lattice L with $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$, we define its root lattice Q to be the sublattice generated by $\Delta := \{\alpha \in L \mid \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2\}$. Recall that every Niemeier lattice is uniquely (up to an isomorphism) determined by its root lattice; denote by $\operatorname{Ni}(Q)$ the Niemeier lattice whose root lattice is Q. If $Q = \{0\}$, then $\operatorname{Ni}(Q)$ is isomorphic to the Leech lattice Λ. Assume that $Q \neq \{0\}$. Then it is known that $\operatorname{rank} Q = 24$, and $\operatorname{Ni}(Q)$ can be realized as a sublattice of the dual lattice Q^* of Q. Thus, $\operatorname{Ni}(Q)/Q$ is a finite abelian group, which we call the glue code or the (set of) glue vectors.

Now, let $L = \operatorname{Ni}(Q)$ be a Niemeier lattice such that $Q \neq \{0\}$. First we review the group structure of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ of the root lattice Q. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} Q_m$ be the decomposition of Q into its indecomposable components; we know from [K, Corollary 5.10 b)]

that for each $1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$\operatorname{Aut} Q_m = W(Q_m) : G_1(Q_m),$$

where $W(Q_m) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} Q_m$ is the Weyl group of Q_m, and $G_1(Q_m)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} Q_m$ consisting of all Dynkin diagram automorphisms of Q_m (with respect to a fixed set Π_m of simple roots of Q_m). Here we set

$$G_0(Q) := \prod_{m=1}^{n} W(Q_m), \qquad G_1(Q) := \prod_{m=1}^{n} G_1(Q_m), \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$K(Q) := \bigl\{\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} Q \mid \tau(Q_m) = Q_m \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq n\bigr\} \preceq \operatorname{Aut} Q; \tag{2.1.2}$$

we call $G_0(Q)$ the Weyl group of Q. Remark that $G_0(Q) \lhd K(Q)$, $G_1(Q) \preceq K(Q)$, and

$$K(Q) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} \operatorname{Aut} Q_m = G_0(Q) : G_1(Q). \tag{2.1.3}$$

For each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $Q_i \cong Q_j$, we have the following automorphism $t_{ij} \in \operatorname{Aut} Q$ of $Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} Q_m$ (the "transposition" of the i-th entry and the j-th entry):

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n).$$

We set

$$G_2(Q) := \bigl\langle t_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ such that } Q_i \cong Q_j \bigr\rangle \preceq \operatorname{Aut} Q,$$

which is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ consisting of all "permutations" of entries of $Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} Q_m$. Then it can be easily verified that

$$\operatorname{Aut} Q = K(Q) : G_2(Q) = G_0(Q) : G_1(Q) : G_2(Q). \tag{2.1.4}$$

We see that $G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ consisting of all elements in $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ that preserves the set $\Pi := \bigsqcup_{m=1}^{n} \Pi_m$ of simple roots of Q.

Remark 2.1.1. Notice that $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ naturally acts on the set $\mathsf{C}_Q := \bigl\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_n\bigr\}$ of indecomposable components of Q. Hence we have a group homomorphism $\Phi : \operatorname{Aut} Q \to \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$, where $\operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ is the symmetric group on the set C_Q. It is obvious that

$$G_2(Q) \cong \operatorname{Im} \Phi, \qquad \operatorname{Ker} \Phi = K(Q) = G_0(Q) : G_1(Q).$$

Next, let us review from [CS, §3 in Chapter 4] the group structure of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut} L$ of L. Notice that $\operatorname{Aut} L \preceq \operatorname{Aut} Q$. Indeed, since the spanning set $\Delta = \bigl\{\alpha \in L \mid \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2\bigr\}$ of Q is stable under the action of $\operatorname{Aut} L$, it follows immediately that Q is stable under $\operatorname{Aut} L$. Thus we get the natural group homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut} L \to \operatorname{Aut} Q$ defined by the restriction $\tau \mapsto \tau|_Q$ for $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Since $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we see that this homomorphism is injective.

It is well-known (and easily verified) that the Weyl group $G_0(Q) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} W(Q_m)$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. Set

$$G_0(L) := G_0(Q) \lhd \operatorname{Aut} L,$$
$$G_1(L) := \operatorname{Aut} L \cap G_1(Q) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} L;$$

note that $G_0(L)$ and $G_1(L)$ are contained in $K(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} L$ (see (2.1.2) and (2.1.3)), and

$$K(Q) \cap \operatorname{Aut} L = G_0(L) : G_1(L). \tag{2.1.5}$$

Furthermore we can easily show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Aut} L = G_0(L) : H(L), \quad \text{where} \\ &H(L) := \operatorname{Aut} L \cap \big(G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)\big) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} L; \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.6}$$

for each $\tau \in H(L)$, there exist unique $\tau_1 \in G_1(Q)$ and $\tau_2 \in G_2(Q)$ such that $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2$, but we should remark that τ_1 and τ_2 are not necessarily contained in $\operatorname{Aut} L$. Define

$$G_2(L) := \big\{\tau \in G_2(Q) \mid \tau_1\tau \in H(L) \text{ for some } \tau_1 \in G_1(Q)\big\}. \tag{2.1.7}$$

Because $G_1(Q) \lhd G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)$, we deduce that $G_2(L)$ is a subgroup of $G_2(Q)$. In addition, if $\tau = \tau_1\tau_2 \in H(L)$ with $\tau_1 \in G_1(Q)$ and $\tau_2 \in G_2(Q)$, then it is obvious by the definition that $\tau_2 \in G_2(L)$. Thus we obtain a map $\pi_2 : H(L) \to G_2(L)$, $\tau \mapsto \tau_2$, which is obviously surjective. Also, it can be verified that π_2 is a group homomorphism, with $G_1(L) = \operatorname{Aut} L \cap G_1(Q)$ as the kernel. Thus we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$1 \longrightarrow G_1(L) \xrightarrow{\subset} H(L) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} G_2(L) \longrightarrow 1. \tag{2.1.8}$$

Remark 2.1.2. With the notation in Remark 2.1.1, we have $G_2(L) \cong \Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L) \preceq \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$.

Remark 2.1.3. Because $\operatorname{Aut} L \preceq \operatorname{Aut} Q$, we have the induced action of $\operatorname{Aut} L$ on the glue code L/Q. Then, $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ acts on L/Q as the identity map if and only if $\tau \in G_0(L)$. Hence, by (2.1.6), $H(L)$ is identical to the subgroup of $G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)$ consisting of the elements that preserves the glue code L/Q.

We know from [CS, §1 in Chapter 16 and §4 in Chapter 18] the group structures of $G_1(L)$ and $G_2(L)$ for each Niemeier lattice L whose root lattice Q is one of those in (3.1.3) below, except for $Q = \{0\}$:

Q	A_1^{24}	A_2^{12}	A_3^8	D_4^6	$A_5^4D_4$	A_6^4	D_6^4	E_6^4
$G_1(L)$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
$G_2(L)$	M_{24}	M_{12}	$AGL_3(2)$	$\mathfrak{S}_6$	$\mathfrak{S}_4$	$\mathfrak{A}_4$	$\mathfrak{S}_4$	$\mathfrak{S}_4$

(2.1.9)

Here, M_{24} and M_{12} are the Mathieu groups of degree 24 and 12, respectively, and $AGL_3(2)$ is the affine general linear group of degree 3 over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

If $Q = \{0\}$, or equivalently, $L \cong \Lambda$ (the Leech lattice), then $\operatorname{Aut}\Lambda$ is isomorphic to a (unique) nontrivial extension of the largest Conway's sporadic simple group Co_1 by $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\sigma_7 \in \operatorname{Aut}\Lambda$ be a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 3; we will show at the beginning of §3.5 below that such an automorphism of Λ exists uniquely, up to conjugation.

2.2 Root lattices. In this subsection, we fix the notation for some root lattices, which are needed to define the automorphisms σ_1, σ_2, …, σ_6 introduced in [SS] and [M]. Also, we introduce three automorphisms ω, φ, and ψ of the root lattice D_4 for later use.

Root lattice A_n. Following [CS, Chapter 4, §6.1], we set

$$A_n := \bigl\{(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 0\bigr\},$$

$$[\ell] := \frac{1}{n+1}(\ell, \ldots, \ell, \underbrace{\ell - n - 1, \ldots, \ell - n - 1}_{\ell \text{ times}}) \in A_n^* \qquad \text{for } \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then, $A_n^*/A_n = \bigl\{\overline{[\ell]} := [\ell] + A_n \mid \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n\bigr\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$. Recall that $\operatorname{Aut} A_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\operatorname{Aut} A_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Root lattice D_n. Following [CS, Chapter 4, §7.1], we set

$$D_n := \bigl\{(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\bigr\},$$

$$[0] := (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in D_n^*, \qquad [1] := (1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2) \in D_n^*,$$
$$[2] := (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in D_n^*, \qquad [3] := (1/2, \ldots, 1/2, -1/2) \in D_n^*.$$

Then we have $D_n^*/D_n = \bigl\{\overline{[\ell]} := [\ell] + D_n \mid \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3\bigr\}$; in particular, $D_4^*/D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Recall that $\operatorname{Aut} D_n \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n : \mathfrak{S}_n$ for $n \geq 5$, and $\operatorname{Aut} D_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^3 : \mathfrak{S}_4 : \mathfrak{S}_3$.

In the case of D_4,

$$\alpha_1 := (1, -1, 0, 0), \qquad \alpha_2 := (0, 1, -1, 0),$$
$$\alpha_3 := (0, 0, 1, -1), \qquad \alpha_4 := (0, 0, 1, 1)$$

give a set of simple roots such that $\langle \alpha_2, \alpha_i \rangle = -1$ for $i = 1, 3, 4$, and $\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_l \rangle = 0$ for $k, l \in \{1, 3, 4\}$, $k \neq l$. Let ω be the Dynkin diagram automorphism of D_4 of order 3 such that $\omega(\alpha_1) = \alpha_3$, $\omega(\alpha_3) = \alpha_4$, $\omega(\alpha_4) = \alpha_1$, and $\omega(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$; we can easily check that

$$\omega(\overline{[0]}) = \overline{[0]}, \quad \omega(\overline{[1]}) = \overline{[2]}, \quad \omega(\overline{[2]}) = \overline{[3]}, \quad \omega(\overline{[3]}) = \overline{[1]}. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Also, we define a linear automorphism φ of $D_4 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$(1,0,0,0) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, 1, 1), \qquad (0,1,0,0) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-1, -1, 1, -1),$$
$$(0,0,1,0) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-1, -1, -1, 1), \qquad (0,0,0,1) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-1, 1, -1, -1);$$

we see that the restriction of φ to D_4 is a lattice automorphism of order 3 of D_4 which is fixed point-free on D_4. Observe that

$$\varphi(\overline{[0]}) = \overline{[0]}, \quad \varphi(\overline{[1]}) = \overline{[2]}, \quad \varphi(\overline{[2]}) = \overline{[3]}, \quad \varphi(\overline{[3]}) = \overline{[1]}. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Hence, by (2.2.1) and (2.2.2), the action of φ on D_4^*/D_4 is identical to that of ω, which implies that $\varphi \in W(D_4)\omega$. Also, define $\psi := r_1 r_2 \in W(D_4)$, where r_i denotes the simple reflection with respect to the simple root α_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$; note that ψ is of order 3. We have

$$\operatorname{rank} D_4^{\omega} = 2, \quad \operatorname{rank} D_4^{\varphi} = 0, \quad \operatorname{rank} D_4^{\psi} = 2. \tag{2.2.3}$$

Root lattice E_6. Let $\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \le i \le 6\}$ be the set of simple roots for the root lattice $E_6 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{6} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$;



We set

$$[0] := 0, \quad [1] := \frac{1}{3}(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 - \alpha_5), \quad [2] := \frac{1}{3}(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 + \alpha_5).$$

Then we have $E_6^*/E_6 = \{\overline{[\ell]} := [\ell] + E_6 \mid \ell = 0, 1, 2\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.

2.3 Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})$ and its automorphism σ_1 of order 3. Define Q to be the direct sum A_2^{12} of 12 copies of the root lattice A_2; following [CS, Chapter 10, §1.5], we use $\Omega := \{\infty, 0, 1, \ldots, 10\}$ for the index set of the coordinate for Q, that is, $Q = \{(\alpha_i)_{i\in\Omega} \mid \alpha_i \in A_2 \text{ for } i \in \Omega\}$. We can identify Q^*/Q with the 12-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_3^{12}$ over the field $\mathbb{F}_3$ of three elements. For each $j \in \Omega$, define $\overline{[1]}^{(j)}$ to be the element $(\overline{[\ell_i]})_{i\in\Omega} \in Q^*/Q$ with $\ell_j = 1$ and $\ell_i = 0$ for all $i \in \Omega$, $i \ne j$. Then, $\{\overline{[1]}^{(j)} \mid j \in \Omega\}$ forms a basis of Q^*/Q.

Define $\nu \in \operatorname{Sym}\Omega$ by:

$$\nu = (\infty)(\mathrm{X}9876543210),$$

where X denotes 10. Set $\Theta := \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \subset \Omega$, and define

$$w_0 := \sum_{i\in\Omega\setminus\Theta} \overline{[1]}^{(i)} - \sum_{j\in\Theta} \overline{[1]}^{(j)},$$

$$w_i := \nu^i \cdot w_0 \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le 10, \qquad w_\infty := \sum_{i\in\Omega} \overline{[1]}^{(i)},$$

where the group $\operatorname{Sym}\Omega$ acts linearly on Q^*/Q by: $g \cdot \overline{[1]}^{(i)} = \overline{[1]}^{(g(i))}$ for $g \in \operatorname{Sym}\Omega$ and $i \in \Omega$. The glue code $\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})/Q$ of the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})$ is identical to the subspace $\mathcal{D}_{12}$ of Q^*/Q spanned by $\{w_i \mid i \in \Omega\}$ (see [CS, Chapter 18, §4, II]), that is,

$$(Q \subset) \quad \mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12}) = \bigsqcup_{C\in\mathcal{D}_{12}} C \quad (\subset Q^*).$$

Define $\sigma' = (\infty)(4)(7)(012)(35\mathrm{X})(689) \in \operatorname{Sym}\Omega$; we see from [CS, Chapter 10, Theorems 2 and 3] (see also [SS, Theorem 3.2.1]) that $\mathcal{D}_{12}$ is stable under the action of σ'.

We arrange the coordinate of $Q = A_2^{12}$ as follows:

$$(\mu_i)_{i\in\Omega} = \big(\underbrace{\mu_\infty,\, \mu_4,\, \mu_7}_{\in A_2^3} \mid \underbrace{\mu_0,\, \mu_3,\, \mu_6}_{\in A_2^3} \mid \underbrace{\mu_1,\, \mu_5,\, \mu_8}_{\in A_2^3} \mid \underbrace{\mu_2,\, \mu_{10},\, \mu_9}_{\in A_2^3}\big).$$

Let ψ_1 be the fixed-point-free automorphism of A_2 defined by: $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_2, x_0, x_1)$; note that $\psi_1(\overline{[\ell]}) = \overline{[\ell]}$ for every $\ell = 0,\, 1,\, 2$. Define $\sigma_1 : Q^* \to Q^*$ by:

$$\big(\mu_\infty,\, \mu_4,\, \mu_7 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{036} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{158} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2\mathrm{X}9}\big) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} \big(\psi_1(\mu_\infty),\, \psi_1(\mu_4),\, \psi_1(\mu_7) \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2\mathrm{X}9} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{036} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{158}\big)$$

for $\mu_\infty,\, \mu_4,\, \mu_7 \in A_2^*$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{036},\, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{158},\, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2\mathrm{X}9} \in (A_2^*)^3$. By the argument above, we see that σ_1 stabilizes the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})$, and hence $\sigma_1 \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})$. It can be easily seen that

$$\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(A_2^{12})^{\sigma_1} = 6. \tag{2.3.1}$$

2.4 Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)$ and its automorphisms σ_2, σ_3, σ_4 of order 3. By [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1], the glue code $\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)/Q$ of the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)$ is generated by the cosets in Q^*/Q containing [111111], [222222], and

$$[002332],\ [023320],\ [033202],\ [032023],\ [020233],$$

where $[a_1 \cdots a_6] := ([a_1], \ldots, [a_6]) \in Q^* = (D_4^*)^6$. Namely, $\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)$ is the sublattice of Q^* generated by Q and these 7 elements in Q^*.

Let us define $\sigma_2,\, \sigma_3,\, \sigma_4 : Q^* \to Q^*$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_2(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_6) = (\varphi(\gamma_1), \ldots, \varphi(\gamma_6)),\\
&\sigma_3(\gamma_1,\, \gamma_2,\, \gamma_3,\, \gamma_4,\, \gamma_5,\, \gamma_6) = (\varphi(\gamma_1),\, \varphi(\gamma_2),\, \varphi(\gamma_3),\, \omega(\gamma_4),\, \omega(\gamma_5),\, \omega(\gamma_6)),\\
&\sigma_4(\gamma_1,\, \gamma_2,\, \gamma_3,\, \gamma_4,\, \gamma_5,\, \gamma_6) = (\psi(\gamma_1),\, \varphi(\gamma_2),\, \varphi^{-1}(\gamma_3),\, \gamma_6,\, \varphi^{-1}(\gamma_4),\, \varphi(\gamma_5)).
\end{aligned}$$

We know from [SS, §4.2, §5.2, §6.2] that $\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6) \subset Q^*$ is stable under the actions of σ_2, σ_3, σ_4, which implies that $\sigma_2,\, \sigma_3,\, \sigma_4 \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)$, and also that

$$\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)^{\sigma_2} = 0, \quad \operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)^{\sigma_3} = 6, \quad \operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(D_4^6)^{\sigma_4} = 6. \tag{2.4.1}$$

2.5 Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)$ and its automorphism σ_5 of order 3. By [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1], the glue code $\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)/Q$ of the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)$ is generated by the cosets in Q^*/Q containing [33001], [30302], [30033], and [20240], [22400], [24020], where $[a_1 \cdots a_5] := ([a_1], \ldots, [a_5]) \in Q^* = (A_5^*)^4D_4^*$. Namely, $\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)$ is the sublattice of Q^* generated by Q and these 6 elements in Q^*.

Let us define $\sigma_5 : Q^* \to Q^*$ by:

$$\sigma_5(\gamma_1,\, \gamma_2,\, \gamma_3,\, \gamma_4,\, \gamma_5) = (\psi_2(\gamma_1),\, \gamma_4,\, \gamma_2,\, \gamma_3,\, \varphi(\gamma_5)),$$

where ψ_2 is the automorphism of A_5 defined by:

$$(x_0,\, x_1,\, x_2,\, x_3,\, x_4,\, x_5) \mapsto (x_2,\, x_0,\, x_1,\, x_5,\, x_3,\, x_4).$$

We know from [SS, §7.2] that $\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4) \subset Q^*$ is stable under the action of σ_5, which implies that $\sigma_5 \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)$, and also that

$$\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(A_5^4D_4)^{\sigma_5} = 6. \tag{2.5.1}$$

2.6 Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)$ and its automorphism σ_6 of order 3. By [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1], the glue code $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)/Q$ of the Niemeier lattice $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)$ is generated by the cosets in Q^*/Q containing [1012], [1120], [1201], where $[a_1 \cdots a_4] := ([a_1],\, \ldots,\, [a_4]) \in Q^* = (E_6^*)^4$. Namely, $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)$ is the sublattice of Q^* generated by Q and [1012], [1120], [1201] $\in Q^*$.

Define $\psi_3 := r_1r_2r_4r_5r_6r_0 \in W(E_6)$, where r_i denotes the simple reflection with respect to α_i for $1 \le i \le 6$, and r_0 denotes the reflection with respect to the highest root of E_6. Then we define $\sigma_6 : Q^* \to Q^*$ by:

$$(\gamma_1,\, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4) \mapsto (\psi_3(\gamma_1),\, \gamma_4, \gamma_2, \gamma_3).$$

We know from [M, §3.2] that $\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4) \subset Q^*$ is stable under the action of σ_6, and hence $\sigma_6 \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)$. Since ψ_3 is fixed-point-free on E_6, we see that

$$\operatorname{rank}\mathrm{Ni}(E_6^4)^{\sigma_6} = 6. \tag{2.6.1}$$

3 Niemeier lattices and their automorphisms of order 3.

3.1 Main result of §3. Let L be a Niemeier lattice, and let Q be its root lattice. Let us consider the following conditions on $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$:

$$\begin{cases} |\tau| = 3; \\ \operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}; \\ \tau \notin G_0(L) = G_0(Q); \end{cases} \tag{3.1.1}$$

recall that $G_0(L) = G_0(Q) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} L$ denotes the Weyl group of Q (see §2.1). Notice that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 0$, 6, 12, or 18. For each $r \in \{0,\, 6,\, 12,\, 18\}$, let us denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ the set of conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{Aut} L$ which contain elements $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = r$.

Remark 3.1.1. Observe that all of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_7$ as in §2 satisfy (3.1.1), and

Q	A_2^{12}	D_4^6	D_4^6	D_4^6	$A_5^4D_4$	E_6^4	$\{0\}$
τ	σ_1	σ_2	σ_3	σ_4	σ_5	σ_6	σ_7
$\operatorname{rank} L^\tau$	6	0	6	6	6	6	0

(3.1.2)

It can be easily checked that the automorphisms σ_3, $\sigma_4 \in \operatorname{Aut}\operatorname{Ni}(D_4^6)$ are not conjugate to each other in $\operatorname{Aut}\operatorname{Ni}(D_4^6)$; indeed, σ_3 fixes 18 elements in $\Delta = \bigl\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Ni}(D_4^6) \mid \langle \alpha, \alpha\rangle = 2\bigr\}$, but σ_4 fixes no element in Δ (see also Propositions 3.6.1 and 3.6.2 below).

The following is the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 3.1.2. *Let L be a Niemeier lattice, and let Q be its root lattice.*

(1) *If there exists $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying* (3.1.1)*, then Q is isomorphic to one of the following:*

$$\bigl\{0\bigr\},\ A_1^{24},\ A_2^{12},\ A_3^8,\ D_4^6,\ A_5^4D_4,\ A_6^4,\ D_6^4,\ E_6^4. \tag{3.1.3}$$

Moreover, if $Q \neq \{0\}$, and there exists $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ which satisfies (3.1.1)*, and preserves each indecomposable component of Q, then $Q = D_4^6$.*

(2) *For each of Q's in* (3.1.3) *and $r = 0,\ 6,\ 12,\ 18$, the cardinality $\#\mathcal{C}_r$ of the set $\mathcal{C}_r$ is given by the following table:*

Q	$\{0\}$	A_1^{24}	A_2^{12}	A_3^8	D_4^6	$A_5^4D_4$	A_6^4	D_6^4	E_6^4
$\#\mathcal{C}_0$	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
$\#\mathcal{C}_6$	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	1
$\#\mathcal{C}_{12}$	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
$\#\mathcal{C}_{18}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(3.1.4)

In particular,

(i) *there exists no $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying* (3.1.1) *with* $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 18$*;*

(ii) *if $Q \neq \{0\}$, and* $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in \bigl\{0,\ 6\bigr\}$*, then τ is conjugate to one of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ (see Remark 3.1.1).*

We will prove Theorem 3.1.2 (1) in §3.4. In §3.5 and §3.6, for each Niemeier lattice $L = \operatorname{Ni}(Q)$ given in Theorem 3.1.2 (1), we will classify the automorphisms $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying (3.1.1), up to conjugation, thereby proving Theorem 3.1.2 (2);

- the classification for $Q = \{0\}$ will be given in Proposition 3.5.1;
- the classification for $Q = A_5^4D_4$ will be given in Proposition 3.5.2;
- the classification for $Q = A_1^{24}$, A_3^8, A_6^4, D_6^4, A_2^{12}, and E_6^4 will be given in Proposition 3.5.3;
- the classification for $Q = D_4^6$ will be given in Propositions 3.6.1 and 3.6.2.

3.2 Automorphisms of root lattices of order 3. It is obvious that $\mathrm{Aut}\, A_1 = W(A_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ does not have an element of order 3.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let R be a root lattice of type A_n with $n \geq 2$, D_n with $n \geq 5$, or E_6.*

(1) *If $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R$ is of order 3, then ε is contained in the Weyl group $W(R)$ of R, and*

$$\mathrm{rank}\, R^{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} n - 2c \text{ for some } 1 \leq c \leq (n+1)/3, \text{ if } R = A_n, \\ n - 2c \text{ for some } 1 \leq c \leq n/3, \text{ if } R = D_n, \\ 0, 2, \text{ or } 4, \text{ if } R = E_6. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R$ are of order 3 (and hence $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in W(R)$ by (1)), and $\mathrm{rank}\, R^{\varepsilon_1} = \mathrm{rank}\, R^{\varepsilon_2}$, then ε_1 and ε_2 are conjugate to each other in $W(R)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{Aut}\, R = W(R) : G_1(R)$. Since $G_1(R)$ (= the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms) does not have an element of order 3 in these cases, we get $\varepsilon \in W(R)$ if $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}\, R$ is of order 3.

Assume that $R = A_n$ with $n \geq 2$. Since $W(A_n) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, we see that ε is equal to a product of mutually commutative 3-cycles; notice that the number c of 3-cycles in ε satisfies $1 \leq c \leq (n+1)/3$. Then we have $\mathrm{rank}\, A_n^{\varepsilon} = n - 2c$, which proves part (1). Also, part (2) is obvious by this argument.

Assume that $R = D_n$ with $n \geq 5$; recall that $W(D_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} : \mathfrak{S}_n$. Write ε as: $\varepsilon = z\rho$, where $z \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$ and $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then, ε is conjugate to ρ. Indeed, we see that ρ is of order 3, and $(z\rho^{-1})^3 = 1$. Hence, $(\rho z \rho^{-1})^{-1} \varepsilon (\rho z \rho^{-1}) = \rho (z\rho^{-1})^3 = \rho$. Thus we may assume from the beginning that $\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Then, ε is equal to a product of mutually commutative 3-cycles; notice that the number c of 3-cycles in ε satisfies $1 \leq c \leq n/3$. It can be easily seen that $\mathrm{rank}\, D_n^{\varepsilon} = n - 2c$, which proves part (1). Part (2) is obvious by this argument.

The assertions for the case of $R = E_6$ follow from the character table of $\mathrm{Aut}\, E_6$, which can be obtained by the computer program "MAGMA". □

We turn to the case of D_4; recall the definitions of ω, φ, $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$ from §2.2. Define $P := \langle W(D_4), \omega \rangle \cong W(D_4) : \langle \omega \rangle \lhd \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4 \cong W(D_4) : \mathfrak{S}_3$; recall that $\varphi \in W(D_4)\omega \subset P$. We claim that an element $z \in W(D_4)\omega$ is not conjugate to any element of $W(D_4)\omega^{-1}$ in P. Indeed, since $P = \langle W(D_4), \omega \rangle$ and $W(D_4) \lhd P$, we see that $x^{-1}zx$ is contained in $W(D_4)\omega$ for all $x \in P$. The assertion follows immediately from this fact and $W(D_4)\omega \cap W(D_4)\omega^{-1} = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.2.2.

(1) *There are exactly three conjugacy classes of order 3 elements in $\mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$, which are*

$\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$, $\mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$, *and* $\mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$. *Moreover, we have*

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\psi; P); \\ \mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\omega; P) \sqcup \mathrm{Con}(\omega^{-1}; P); \\ \mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\varphi; P) \sqcup \mathrm{Con}(\varphi^{-1}; P). \end{cases} \tag{3.2.1}$$

(2) *Let* $\varepsilon \in \{\omega, \varphi\}$ *and* $c \in \{1, -1\}$. *Then,*

$$\mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon^c; P) = \{y^{-1}\varepsilon^c y \mid y \in W(D_4)\}. \tag{3.2.2}$$

Proof. (1) First, we used the computer program "MAGMA" to obtain the character table of $\mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$, from which we see that $\mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$ has exactly three conjugacy classes of order 3 elements in $\mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$; by (2.2.3), we have $\mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) \neq \mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$. Since $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) \subset W(D_4)$ (recall that $\psi \in W(D_4) \lhd \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4$), and since $\omega, \varphi \notin W(D_4)$, it follows that $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) \neq \mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4), \mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$. Thus we conclude that $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$, $\mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$, and $\mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$ are distinct to each other. Also, by (2.2.3) and the fact that $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) \subset W(D_4)$, we see that $\varphi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$ and $\omega^{-1} \in \mathrm{Con}(\omega; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$.

Let ρ be the Dynkin diagram automorphism of D_4 which interchanges α_3 and α_4 with notation in §2.2. Then, $\mathrm{Aut}\, D_4 = P \sqcup \rho P$, and hence

$$\mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; P) \cup \mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho; P),$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{\psi, \omega, \varphi\}$. If $\varepsilon = \psi = r_1 r_2$, then we see that $\rho^{-1}\psi\rho = \psi$, and hence $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; P) = \mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\psi\rho; P)$. Thus we get $\mathrm{Con}(\psi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\psi; P)$. If $\varepsilon = \omega$ or φ, then we see that $\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho \in W(D_4)\omega^{-1}$ since $\rho^{-1}\omega\rho = \omega^{-1}$. Hence, by the argument preceding this lemma, ε is not conjugate to $\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho$ in P, which implies that $\mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; P) \neq \mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho; P)$;

$$\mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = \mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; P) \sqcup \mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho; P).$$

Since $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)$ as seen above, and $\varepsilon^{-1} \notin \mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon; P)$, it follows that $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho; P)$, and hence $\mathrm{Con}(\rho^{-1}\varepsilon\rho; P) = \mathrm{Con}(\varepsilon^{-1}; P)$. Thus we have proved part (1).

(2) If $\varepsilon = \omega$, then the assertion is obvious since $P = \langle W(D_4), \omega \rangle$ and $W(D_4) \lhd P$. Assume that $\varepsilon = \varphi$. The cyclic group $\langle \omega \rangle$ (of order 3) acts on $\mathrm{Con}(\varphi^c; P)$ by conjugation. Since $\#\mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4) = 16$ by the character table obtained by MAGMA, we see that $\#\mathrm{Con}(\varphi^c; P) = \#\mathrm{Con}(\varphi; \mathrm{Aut}\, D_4)/2 = 8$. Hence there exists $\varphi' \in \mathrm{Con}(\varphi^c; P)$ such that $\omega^{-1}\varphi'\omega = \varphi'$. Then we see that $\mathrm{Con}(\varphi^c; P) = \mathrm{Con}(\varphi'; P) = \{y^{-1}\varphi' y \mid y \in W(D_4)\}$. Therefore we obtain $\mathrm{Con}(\varphi^c; P) = \{y^{-1}\varphi^c y \mid y \in W(D_4)\}$, as desired. □

3.3 Some technical lemmas. In this subsection, we show some basic lemmas, which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1.2.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let G be a finite group. If $G_1 \lhd G$ and $|G_1| = 2$, then G_1 is contained in the center of G. Moreover, the canonical projection $G \twoheadrightarrow G/G_1$ induces a bijection from the set of conjugacy classes of order 3 elements in G onto the ones in G/G_1.*

Proof. An easy exercise. □

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let L be a lattice, let $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ be of order 3, and let Q be a sublattice of L such that $\tau(Q) = Q$.*

(1) *If $\operatorname{rank} L = \operatorname{rank} Q$, then $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q^\tau$.*

(2) *If there exist sublattices R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 of Q such that $Q = \bigoplus_{q=1}^4 R_q$, and $\tau(R_1) = R_2$, $\tau(R_2) = R_3$, $\tau(R_3) = R_1$, $\tau(R_4) = R_4$, then $\operatorname{rank} Q^\tau = \operatorname{rank} R_1 + \operatorname{rank} R_4^\tau$.*

Proof. Part (1) can be easily verified as follows: $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \dim(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^\tau = \dim(Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q^\tau$. Let us show part (2). Note that $(R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3)^\tau = \big\{x + \tau(x) + \tau^2(x) \mid x \in R_1\big\}$. Thus we have an isomorphism of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules from R_1 onto $(R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3)^\tau$ defined by: $x \mapsto x + \tau(x) + \tau^2(x)$; notice that this map does not preserve the $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear forms. Hence we obtain an isomorphism of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules from Q^τ onto $R_1 \oplus R_4^\tau$, which implies that $\operatorname{rank} Q^\tau = \operatorname{rank} R_1 + \operatorname{rank} R_4^\tau$, as desired. □

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let L be a Niemeier lattice, and let Q be its root lattice. Let $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ be of order 3. Let R_m, $1 \leq m \leq 4$, be root sublattices of Q (not necessarily, indecomposable) such that*

$$Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{4} R_m, \qquad \tau(R_1) = R_2, \quad \tau(R_2) = R_3, \quad \tau(R_3) = R_1, \quad \tau(R_4) = R_4.$$

Let $w \in W(R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3) = \prod_{m=1}^3 W(R_m) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} L$. If $w\tau$ is of order 3, then $w\tau$ is conjugate to τ.

Proof. First, we make some remarks. It is obvious that for every $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ with $i \neq j$, $w_i w_j = w_j w_i$ for all $w_i \in W(R_i)$ and $w_j \in W(R_j)$. Also, we see that $R_1 \cong R_2 \cong R_3$, and hence $W(R_1) \cong W(R_2) \cong W(R_3)$. Remark that for $w_i \in W(R_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the element $w_i^{\tau^p} := \tau^{-p} w_i \tau^p$ is contained in $W(R_{\overline{i-p}})$, where $\overline{\ } : \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \big\{1, 2, 3\big\}$ is the canonical projection.

Now, let $w \in W(R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3) = \prod_{m=1}^3 W(R_m)$ be such that $w\tau$ is of order 3, and write it as: $w = w_1 w_2 w_3$ with $w_i \in W(R_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Since $1 = (w\tau)^3$, and $\tau^3 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (w\tau)(w\tau)(w\tau) = (w_1 w_2 w_3 \tau)(w_1 w_2 w_3 \tau)(w_1 w_2 w_3 \tau) \\ &= (w_1 w_2 w_3 \tau)(w_1 w_2 w_3 \tau)\tau(w_1^\tau w_2^\tau w_3^\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (w_1w_2w_3\tau)\tau^2(w_1^{\tau^2}w_2^{\tau^2}w_3^{\tau^2})(w_1^{\tau}w_2^{\tau}w_3^{\tau}) \\
&= (w_1w_2w_3)(w_1^{\tau^2}w_2^{\tau^2}w_3^{\tau^2})(w_1^{\tau}w_2^{\tau}w_3^{\tau}) \\
&= \underbrace{(w_1w_3^{\tau^2}w_2^{\tau})}_{\in W(R_1)}\underbrace{(w_2w_1^{\tau^2}w_3^{\tau})}_{\in W(R_2)}\underbrace{(w_3w_2^{\tau^2}w_1^{\tau})}_{\in W(R_3)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\underbrace{w_1w_3^{\tau^2}w_2^{\tau}}_{\in W(R_1)} = \underbrace{w_2w_1^{\tau^2}w_3^{\tau}}_{\in W(R_2)} = \underbrace{w_3w_2^{\tau^2}w_1^{\tau}}_{\in W(R_3)} = 1.
$$

Here we set $u := w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2} \in W(R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus R_3) = \prod_{m=1}^{3} W(R_m)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u\tau u^{-1} &= (w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})\tau(w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})^{-1} = (w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})\tau\{(w_1^{\tau^2})^{-1}w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1}\} \\
&= (w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})\tau(w_3^{\tau}w_2)w_2^{-1}w_1^{-1} = (w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})\tau w_3^{\tau}w_1^{-1} \\
&= (w_1w_2w_1^{\tau^2})w_3\tau w_1^{-1} \\
&= (w_1w_2w_3)w_1^{\tau^2}\tau w_1^{-1} \quad \text{since } w_1^{\tau^2} \in W(R_2) \text{ and } w_3 \in W(R_3) \\
&= w\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have proved the lemma. □

3.4 Proof of Theorem 3.1.2 (1). Let $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ be a Niemeier lattice such that $Q \neq \{0\}$ (i.e., $L \neq \Lambda$). Let $Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} Q_m$ be the decomposition of Q into its indecomposable components. Assume that $\mathrm{Aut}\, L$ has an element τ satisfying (3.1.1).

Claim 1. *If $\tau \in G_0(L) : G_1(L)$, that is, if $\tau(Q_m) = Q_m$ for all $1 \leq m \leq n$ (see (2.1.5)), then $Q = D_4^6$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Write τ uniquely as: $\tau = \tau_0\tau_1$ with $\tau_0 \in G_0(L)$ and $\tau_1 \in G_1(L)$; notice that $|\tau_1| = 1$ or 3 since the Weyl group $G_0(L)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}\, L$. Because $\tau \notin G_0(L)$ by (3.1.1), it follows immediately that $\tau_1 \neq 1$, and hence τ_1 is of order 3. Since $\tau_1 \in G_1(L) \preceq G_1(Q) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} G_1(Q_m)$ (see (2.1.1)), there exists $1 \leq m \leq n$ such that $G_1(Q_m)$ contains a Dynkin diagram automorphism of order 3, which implies that Q_m is of type D_4. Therefore we see from the list of Niemeier lattices (see [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1] for example) that $Q = A_5^4D_4$ or $Q = D_4^6$. Since $|G_1(L)| = 2$ if $Q = A_5^4D_4$ (see table (2.1.9)), and since $\tau_1 \in G_1(L)$ is of order 3, we obtain $Q = D_4^6$, as desired. ∎

We next assume that $\tau \notin G_0(L) : G_1(L)$, or equivalently, $\tau(Q_m) \neq Q_m$ for some $1 \leq m \leq n$. Under the notation in Remarks 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, $\Phi(\tau) \in \mathrm{Sym}\, \mathsf{C}_Q$ acts on the set $\mathsf{C}_Q = \{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ of the indecomposable components of Q nontrivially, which implies that $\Phi(\tau)$ is of order 3. Therefore there exist at least 3 mutually isomorphic components in C_Q; by the list of Niemeier lattices [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1], Q is one of the following:

$$
A_1^{24},\ A_2^{12},\ A_3^8,\ A_4^6,\ A_5^4D_4,\ D_4^6,\ A_6^4,\ A_8^3,\ D_6^4,\ E_6^4,\ D_8^3,\ E_8^3.
$$

Claim 2. *Q cannot be A_4^6, A_8^3, D_8^3, nor E_8^3.*

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose first that $Q = X^3$ with $X = A_8$, D_8, or E_8. Because $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ is of order 3, we see that τ cyclically permutes the 3 indecomposable components Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 of Q as: $\tau(Q_1) = Q_2$, $\tau(Q_2) = Q_3$, $\tau(Q_3) = Q_1$. Therefore it follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.2 that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q^\tau = \operatorname{rank} X = 8$, which contradicts the fact that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau$ is divisible by 6.

Suppose next that $Q = A_4^6$. By [CS, p. 408], $\Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L) \cong G_2(L) \cong PGL_2(5)$ ($\cong \mathfrak{S}_5$), where $PGL_2(5)$ is the projective general linear group of degree 2 over $\mathbb{F}_5$, and it acts on the set $\mathsf{C}_Q \cong \{\infty, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ as linear fractional transformations (see also [CS, Chapter 10, §1]); note that $PGL_2(5)$ ($\cong \mathfrak{S}_5$) has a unique conjugacy class of order 3 elements, and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \bmod \mathbb{F}_5^\times$ is a representative for it, which acts on C_Q as $(\infty 01)(243)$. Since $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ is of order 3, it follows immediately that $\Phi(\tau)$ is conjugate to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \bmod \mathbb{F}_5^\times$, and hence acts on C_Q as a product of two mutually commutative 3-cycles. Therefore, Q has 3 components R_1, R_2, R_3 of type A_4^2, which are cyclically permuted by τ as: $\tau(R_1) = R_2$, $\tau(R_2) = R_3$, $\tau(R_3) = R_1$. Therefore it follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.2 that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} A_4^2 = 8$, which contradicts (3.1.1). ∎

Thus we have proved Theorem 3.1.2 (1).

3.5 Proof of Theorem 3.1.2 (2): Case that $Q \neq D_4^6$. If L is the Leech lattice Λ, then $\operatorname{Aut} L = \operatorname{Aut} \Lambda$ is of type $2.\mathrm{Co}_1$ (see §2.1). We know from [ATLAS, Co_1] that Co_1 has exactly 4 conjugacy classes $3A$, $3B$, $3C$, and $3D$ of order 3 elements with notation therein. Hence, by Lemma 3.3.1, $\operatorname{Aut} \Lambda$ has exactly 4 conjugacy classes of order 3 elements, which we denote also by $3A$, $3B$, $3C$, and $3D$. We deduce from the character table in [ATLAS, Co_1] that if $\tau \in 3A$ (resp., $3B$, $3C$, $3D$) in $\operatorname{Aut} \Lambda \cong 2.\mathrm{Co}_1$, then $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 0$ (resp., 12, 6, 8). Thus we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5.1. *An automorphism $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} \Lambda$ satisfies (3.1.1) if and only if it is contained in the conjugacy classes $3A$, $3B$, or $3C$ in $\operatorname{Aut} \Lambda \cong 2.\mathrm{Co}_1$. Moreover, if $\tau \in 3A$ (resp., $3B$, $3C$), then $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 0$ (resp., 12, 6).*

Next, let us consider the case that Q is neither $\{0\}$ nor D_4^6. In these cases, we see from Theorem 3.1.2 (1) that if $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfies (3.1.1), then $\Phi(\tau) \in \Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L)$ ($\cong G_2(L)$) $\preceq \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ acts on the set $\mathsf{C}_Q = \{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq n\}$ of indecomposable components of $Q = \bigoplus_{m=1}^n Q_m$ nontrivially, and hence $\Phi(\tau)$ is of order 3 (see Remarks 2.1.1 and 2.1.2, along with (2.1.4)).

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ be the Niemeier lattice with $Q = A_5^4 D_4$. Enumerate $\mathsf{C}_Q = \{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq 5\}$ as $Q_1 \cong Q_2 \cong Q_3 \cong Q_4 \cong A_5$ and $Q_5 \cong D_4$.*

(1) *If* $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ *satisfies* (3.1.1)*, then* $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ *fixes* $Q_5 \in \mathsf{C}_Q$*, and acts on* $\{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\} \subset \mathsf{C}_Q$ *as a* 3*-cycle. If* Q_k *is a unique element in* $\{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\}$ *fixed by* $\Phi(\tau)$*, then either (a) or (b) below holds:*

(a) $\operatorname{rank} Q_k^\tau = 1$*,* $\tau|_{Q_5} \in \operatorname{Aut} D_4$ *is conjugate to* φ *in* $\operatorname{Aut} D_4$*, and* $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 6$*;*

(b) $\tau|_{Q_k} = \mathrm{id}$*,* $\tau|_{Q_5} \in \operatorname{Aut} D_4$ *is conjugate to* ω *in* $\operatorname{Aut} D_4$*, and* $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$*.*

(2) *We have* $\#\mathcal{C}_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_{18} = 0$*, and* $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = \#\mathcal{C}_{12} = 1$*.*

Proof. (1) It is obvious that $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ fixes $Q_5 \in \mathsf{C}_Q$. Since $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_4$ by table (2.1.9), it follows that $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$ acts on $\{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\} \subset \mathsf{C}_Q$ as a 3-cycle. We see from Lemma 3.3.2, along with Lemmas 3.2.1, 3.2.2 (1), and (2.2.3), that

$$6\mathbb{Z} \ni \operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q^\tau = \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_k^\tau}_{=1,\,3,\,\text{or}\,5} + \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_l}_{=5} + \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_5^\tau}_{=0,\,2,\,\text{or}\,4},$$

where $1 \leq l \leq 4$ with $l \neq k$.

Claim. $\tau|_{Q_5} \in \operatorname{Aut} D_4$ *is not contained in* $W(D_4)$*.*

Proof of Claim. We use the glue code as in §2.5; here, for simplicity of notation, we write the coset $[a_1a_2\cdots a_5] + Q$ as $[a_1a_2\cdots a_5]$. By §2.5, the glue code L/Q consists of

$$s_1[33001] + s_2[30302] + s_3[30033] + t_1[20240] + t_2[22400] + t_3[24020]$$

with $0 \leq s_1,\, s_2,\, s_3 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t_1,\, t_2,\, t_3 \leq 2$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} B &:= \{[a_1a_2\cdots a_5] \in L/Q \mid a_m \in \{0,\, 3\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq 4\} \\ &= \{s_1[33001] + s_2[30302] + s_3[30033] \mid 0 \leq s_1,\, s_2,\, s_3 \leq 1\} \\ &= \{[00000],\, [33001],\, [30302],\, [30033],\, [03303],\, [03032],\, [00331],\, [33330]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the subset B above is stable under the induced action of $\operatorname{Aut} L$ on L/Q, because the induced action of $\operatorname{Aut} A_5$ on A_5^*/A_5 fixes $\overline{[0]},\, \overline{[3]} \in A_5^*/A_5$ (see §2.2 for the notation).

Now, suppose, for a contradiction, that $\tau|_{Q_5} \in W(D_4)$. Then the induced action of τ on L/Q does not change the fifth entry of any $[a_1a_2\cdots a_5] \in L/Q$. Since the subset B above is stable under the induced action of $\operatorname{Aut} L$ on L/Q as mentioned above, it follows that $\tau[33001]$ is equal to an element of B whose fifth entry is equal to 1. Namely, $\tau[33001] = [33001]$ or $[00331]$. Similarly, $\tau[00331] = [33001]$ or $[00331]$. Hence the subset $\{[33001],\, [00331]\}$ of B is stable under the action of τ. Since $|\tau| = 3$, it follows immediately that τ fixes both $[33001]$ and $[00331]$. However, this contradicts the fact that $\Phi(\tau)$ acts on $\{Q_m \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\} \subset \mathsf{C}_Q$ as a 3-cycle. Thus we have proved Claim. ∎

By Claim and Lemma 3.2.2 (1), $\tau|_{Q_5}$ is conjugate to either φ or ω in $\operatorname{Aut} D_4$. If $\tau|_{Q_5}$ is conjugate to φ (resp., ω), then $\operatorname{rank} Q_5^\tau = 0$ (resp., $= 2$), and hence $\operatorname{rank} Q_k^\tau = 1$ (resp., $= 5$) since $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have proved part (1).

(2) Part (1) implies that $\#\mathcal{C}_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_{18} = 0$. Let us show that $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = \#\mathcal{C}_{12} = 1$. We know from Remark 3.1.1 that $\sigma_5 \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ as in §2.5 satisfies (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^{\sigma_5} = 6$, which implies that $\#\mathcal{C}_6 \geq 1$. Recall from §2.5 that σ_5 fixes $Q_1 \cong A_5$ and $Q_5 \cong D_4$, and permutes $Q_2 \cong Q_3 \cong Q_4 \cong A_5$ cyclically, and that $\sigma_5|_{Q_1} = \psi \in W(A_5) \cong W(Q_1)$ and $\sigma_5|_{Q_5} = \varphi \in W(D_4)\omega$. Let $w \in W(D_4) \cong W(Q_5)$ be such that $w\varphi = \omega$. Then we see that $\sigma := \psi^{-1} w \sigma_5 \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfies (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$. Thus we get $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} \geq 1$.

Next, we show $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = 1$ and $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} = 1$; we give a proof only for $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = 1$ since $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} = 1$ can be shown similarly. Assume that τ, $\tau' \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfy (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} L^{\tau'} = 6$. Write τ and τ' as: $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H$ and $\tau' = \tau_0'\tau_H'$ with τ_0, $\tau_0' \in G_0(L)$ and τ_H, $\tau_H' \in H(L)$ (see (2.1.6)); remark that $|\tau_H| = |\tau_H'| = 3$ and $|\pi_2(\tau_H)| = |\pi_2(\tau_H')| = 3$. Because $G_2(L) \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$ has a unique conjugacy class consisting of order 3 elements, $\pi_2(\tau_H)$ is conjugate to $\pi_2(\tau_H')$ in $G_2(L)$. Since $G_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ in this case (see table (2.1.9)), and since the sequence in (2.1.8) is exact, it follows from Lemma 3.3.1 that τ_H is conjugate to τ_H' in $H(L)$. Let $h \in H(L)$ be such that $h^{-1}\tau_H h = \tau_H'$. Then, $\tau' = \tau_0'\tau_H' = \tau_0'(h^{-1}\tau_H h) = h^{-1}\{(h\tau_0'h^{-1})\tau_H\}h$; note that $h\tau_0'h^{-1} \in G_0(L)$. Hence, by replacing τ' with $(h\tau_0'h^{-1})\tau_H$, we may assume from the beginning that $\tau_H' = \tau_H$. In particular, we have $\Phi(\tau) = \Phi(\tau') \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$; we may assume without loss of generality that $\Phi(\tau) = \Phi(\tau')$ fixes $Q_1 \cong A_5$ and $Q_5 \cong D_4$, and permutes $Q_2 \cong Q_3 \cong Q_4 \cong A_5$ cyclically as: $Q_2 \to Q_3 \to Q_4 \to Q_2$.

By part (1), $\tau|_{Q_5} \in \operatorname{Aut} D_4$ and $\tau'|_{Q_5} \in \operatorname{Aut} D_4$ are conjugate to φ in $\operatorname{Aut} D_4$. Also, since $\tau_H' = \tau_H$, we deduce from Lemma 3.2.2 (1) that both $\tau|_{Q_5}$ and $\tau'|_{Q_5}$ are contained in $\operatorname{Con}(\varphi^c; P)$, where $c = 1$ or -1. Hence, by Lemma 3.2.2 (2), there exists $y \in W(D_4) \cong W(Q_5)$ such that $y^{-1}(\tau|_{Q_5})y = \tau'|_{Q_5}$. Then we see by a direct computation that $y^{-1}\tau y = \tau_0''\tau_H$ for some $\tau_0'' \in G_0(L)$ such that $\tau_0''|_{Q_5} = \tau_0'|_{Q_5}$. Hence we may assume from the beginning that $\tau_0|_{Q_5} = \tau_0'|_{Q_5}$. Write $\tau_0 \in G_0(L)$ and $\tau_0' \in G_0(L)$ as:

$$\tau_0 = (x_1,\, x_2,\, x_3,\, x_4,\, x_5), \qquad \tau_0' = (x_1',\, x_2',\, x_3',\, x_4',\, x_5).$$

with x_m, $x_m' \in W(A_5)$, $1 \leq m \leq 4$, and $x_5 \in W(D_5)$. Set

$$w := (1,\, x_2'x_2^{-1},\, x_3'x_3^{-1},\, x_4'x_4^{-1},\, 1) \in G_0(L).$$

We deduce from Lemma 3.3.3 that $w\tau = w\tau_0\tau_H$ is conjugate to $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H$. Thus, by replacing τ by $w\tau$, we may assume that $x_m = x_m'$ also for all $2 \leq m \leq 4$. Since $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} L^{\tau'} = 6$, we have $\operatorname{rank} Q_1^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q_1^{\tau'} = 1$ by part (1). By Lemma 3.2.1, there exists $z \in W(A_5) \cong W(Q_4)$ such that $z^{-1}\big(\tau|_{Q_1}\big)z = \big(\tau'|_{Q_1}\big)$. Then we see that $z^{-1}\tau z = \tau'$. Thus we have proved part (2). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.5.2. □

Proposition 3.5.3. *Let $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ be the Niemeier lattice with $Q = A_1^{24}$, A_3^8, A_6^4, D_6^4, A_2^{12}, or E_6^4. Set $n := \#\mathsf{C}_Q$.*

(1) *If $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ satisfies (3.1.1), then $\Phi(\tau) \in \mathrm{Sym}\, \mathsf{C}_Q$ fixes exactly $k := n/4$ elements in $\mathsf{C}_Q = \{Q_m \mid 1 \le m \le n\}$; we may assume that*

$$\tau(Q_m) = \begin{cases} Q_{m+k} & \text{if } 1 \le m \le 2k, \\ Q_{m-2k} & \text{if } 2k+1 \le m \le 3k, \\ Q_m & \text{if } 3k+1 \le m \le n. \end{cases} \tag{3.5.1}$$

If the automorphism group of an indecomposable component of Q has a fixed-point-free element of order 3, i.e., if $Q = A_2^{12}$ or E_6^4 (see Lemma 3.2.1), then either (a) or (b) below holds:

(a) *τ acts on all of Q_m's, $3k+1 \le m \le n$, trivially, and $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 12$;*

(b) *τ acts on all of Q_m's, $3k+1 \le m \le n$, fixed-point-freely, and $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 6$.*

Otherwise, τ acts on all of Q_m's, $3k+1 \le m \le n$, trivially, and $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 12$.

(2) *We have $\#\mathcal{C}_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_{18} = 0$, and*

$$\#\mathcal{C}_{12} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } Q = A_6^4, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \qquad \#\mathcal{C}_6 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Q = A_2^{12} \text{ or } E_6^4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) First, let us check that $\Phi(\tau) \in \mathrm{Sym}\, \mathsf{C}_Q$ fixes exactly $n/4$ elements in C_Q.

If $Q = A_1^{24}$, then $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to the Mathieu group M_{24} of degree 24 by table (2.1.9). We know from [ATLAS, M_{24}] that M_{24} has exactly two conjugacy classes $3A$ and $3B$ of order 3 elements; when M_{24} acts on a set of 24 elements (such as C_Q) nontrivially, an element of $3A$ (resp., $3B$) fixes exactly 6 elements (resp., 0 element) in the set. If $\Phi(\tau)$ is contained in $3B$, then it follows from Lemma 3.3.2 that $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = \mathrm{rank}\, A_1^8 = 8$, which contradicts (3.1.1). Thus we get $\Phi(\tau) \in 3A$, and hence $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes exactly 6 elements in C_Q.

If $Q = A_2^{12}$, then $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to the Mathieu group M_{12} of degree 12 (see table (2.1.9)), which has exactly two conjugacy classes $3A$ and $3B$ consisting of elements of order 3 (see [ATLAS, M_{12}]); when M_{12} acts on a set of 12 elements (such as C_Q) nontrivially, an element of $3A$ (resp., $3B$) fixes exactly 3 elements (resp., 0 element) in the set. The same argument as above shows that $\Phi(\tau) \in 3A$, and hence $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes exactly 3 elements in C_Q.

If $Q = A_3^8$, then $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to $AGL_3(2)$ by table (2.1.9), which is of type $2^3.PSL_2(7)$ (see [CS, p. 408]), where $PSL_2(7)$ is the projective special linear group of degree 2 over $\mathbb{F}_7$. We see, by using the computer program "MAGMA" and [ATLAS] for example, that this group has a unique conjugacy class of order 3 elements, and that when this group

acts on a set of 8 elements (such as C_Q) nontrivially, an element of the conjugacy class fixes exactly 2 elements in the set.

If $Q = D_6^4$ or E_6^4, then $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{S}_4$ by table (2.1.9). The group $\mathfrak{S}_4$ has a unique conjugacy class of order 3 elements which consists of all 3-cycles. Hence, $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes exactly 1 element in C_Q.

If $Q = A_6^4$, then $G_2(L)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{A}_4$ by table (2.1.9). The group $\mathfrak{A}_4$ has exactly two conjugacy classes of order 3 elements, which contain the 3-cycles $(123) \in \mathfrak{A}_4$ and $(132) \in \mathfrak{A}_4$, respectively. Hence, $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes exactly 1 element in C_Q.

Next, we see from Lemma 3.3.2 that

$$6\mathbb{Z} \ni \operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{k} \operatorname{rank} Q_m}_{=6} + \underbrace{\sum_{m=3k+1}^{n} \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau}_{\leq 6}, \tag{3.5.2}$$

and hence $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 6$ or 12. If $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 6$ (resp., $= 12$), then $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0$ (resp., $= \operatorname{rank} Q_m$) for all $3k+1 \leq m \leq n$, which implies that τ acts on all of Q_m's, $3k+1 \leq m \leq n$, fixed-point-freely (resp., trivially). Thus we have proved part (1).

(2) Part (1) shows that $\#\mathcal{C}_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_{18} = 0$ in all the cases in this proposition, and also that $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = 0$ if Q is neither A_2^{12} nor E_6^4. Let us show that

$$\begin{cases} \#\mathcal{C}_{12} \geq 1 & \text{if } Q = A_1^{24},\ A_3^8,\ D_6^4,\ A_2^{12}, \text{ or } E_6^4, \\ \#\mathcal{C}_{12} \geq 2 & \text{if } Q = A_6^4, \\ \#\mathcal{C}_6 \geq 1 & \text{if } Q = A_2^{12} \text{ or } E_6^4. \end{cases} \tag{3.5.3}$$

We see from the proof of part (1) above that if $Q = A_1^{24}$, A_3^8, D_6^4, A_2^{12}, or E_6^4 (resp., $Q = A_6^4$), then $G_2(L) \cong \Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L)$ ($\preceq \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q$) has a unique conjugacy class $\overline{C}$ (resp., exactly two conjugacy classes $\overline{C}_1$ and $\overline{C}_2$) of order 3 elements which fix exactly $k = n/4$ elements in C_Q. Here we observe that $G_1(L) = 1$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in all the cases in this proposition (see table (2.1.9)), and recall that the sequence in (2.1.8) is exact. Let C (resp., C_1 and C_2) be the conjugacy class of order 3 elements in $H(L) = \operatorname{Aut} L \cap (G_1(Q) : G_2(Q))$ corresponding to $\overline{C}$ (resp., $\overline{C}_1$ and $\overline{C}_2$) under the canonical projection $H(L) \twoheadrightarrow H(L)/G_1(L) \cong G_2(L)$ (see Lemma 3.3.1). Take an arbitrary element $\sigma \in C \subset H(L)$ (resp., $\sigma \in C_p$ with $p = 1, 2$). It is obvious that $\Phi(\sigma)$ fixes exactly $k = n/4$ elements in C_Q, and so we may assume that $\Phi(\sigma)$ acts on C_Q as (3.5.1). Because the automorphism group of an indecomposable component of Q does not have a Dynkin diagram automorphism of order 3, we see that $\sigma|_{Q_m} = \mathrm{id}$ for all $3k+1 \leq m \leq n$. Thus we get $\operatorname{rank} L^\sigma = 12 \in 6\mathbb{Z}$ (see (3.5.2) above), which implies that $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} \geq 1$ in these cases (resp., $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} \geq 2$ since an element of C_1 is not conjugate to an element of C_2 also in $\operatorname{Aut} L$). In addition, if $Q = A_2^{12}$ or E_6^4, then for every $3k+1 \leq m \leq n$, there exists an element $w_m \in W(Q_m)$ which acts on Q_m fixed-point-freely (see Lemma 3.2.1).

Then, $\sigma' := \bigl(\prod_{m=3k+1}^{n} w_m\bigr)\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfies (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^{\sigma'} = 6$. Thus, $\#\mathcal{C}_6 \geq 1$ if $Q = A_2^{12}$ or E_6^4.

Next, we prove that the equalities hold in all of inequalities (3.5.3); we show the equalities only for $\#\mathcal{C}_{12}$ since the equality for $\#\mathcal{C}_6$ can be shown similarly. Assume that $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfies (3.1.1) with $\operatorname{rank} L^{\tau} = 12$; it suffices to show that τ is conjugate to an element in $D \subset H(L)$, where we set

$$D := \begin{cases} C & \text{if } Q = A_1^{24},\ A_3^8,\ D_6^4,\ A_2^{12}, \text{ or } E_6^4, \\ C_1 \sqcup C_2 & \text{if } Q = A_6^4. \end{cases}$$

Write τ as: $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H$ with $\tau_0 \in G_0(L) = W(Q)$ and $\tau_H \in H(L)$ (see (2.1.6)). We deduce from part (1) and the definitions of $\overline{C}$ and $\overline{C}_p$, $p = 1, 2$, that $\Phi(\tau_H) = \Phi(\tau) \in \overline{D}$ ($\subset G_2(L) \cong \Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L)$), where we set

$$\overline{D} := \begin{cases} \overline{C} & \text{if } Q = A_1^{24},\ A_3^8,\ D_6^4,\ A_2^{12}, \text{ or } E_6^4, \\ \overline{C}_1 \sqcup \overline{C}_2 & \text{if } Q = A_6^4. \end{cases}$$

Because $\pi_2(\tau_H)$ corresponds to $\Phi(\tau) = \Phi(\tau_H)$ under the identification $G_2(L) \cong \Phi(\operatorname{Aut} L)$, it follows from part (1) and the argument above that $\pi_2(\tau_H) \in \overline{D}$, which implies that $\tau_H \in D$ by the definitions of C and C_p, $p = 1, 2$.

Now, let us write $\tau_0 \in G_0(L) = W(Q) = \prod_{m=1}^{n} W(Q_m)$ as: $\tau_0 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, where $x_i \in \prod_{m=(i-1)k+1}^{ik} W(Q_m)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and $x_4 \in \prod_{m=3k+1}^{n} W(Q_m)$. If we set $w := (x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, 1)$, then we deduce from Lemma 3.3.3 that $w\tau = w\tau_0\tau_H$ is conjugate to $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H$. Thus, by replacing τ with $w\tau$, we may assume that $x_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Now, because $\operatorname{rank} L^{\tau} = 12$, we see from the proof of part (1) that $\tau(Q_m) = Q_m$ and $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^{\tau} = \operatorname{rank} Q_m$ for all $3k + 1 \leq m \leq n$. Hence, $\tau|_{Q_m} = \bigl(x_4|_{Q_m}\bigr)\bigl(\tau_H|_{Q_m}\bigr) = \mathrm{id}$ for all $3k + 1 \leq m \leq n$, which implies that $x_4 = 1$. Therefore we get $\tau = \tau_H \in D$. Thus we have proved part (2). This completes the proof of Proposition 3.5.3. □

3.6 Proof of Theorem 3.1.2 (2): Case that $Q = D_4^6$. Finally, let us consider the case of $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ with $Q = D_4^6$; throughout this subsection, we use the description of the glue code L/Q in §2.4. We should remark that $G_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, and that $G_2(L) \cong \mathfrak{S}_6 \cong G_2(Q)$ and hence $G_2(L) = G_2(Q)$.

We divide this case into two propositions: in Proposition 3.6.1 (resp., Proposition 3.6.2), we consider the case that $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ is contained (resp., not contained) in $G_0(L) : G_1(L)$, or equivalently, $\Phi(\tau) = 1 \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$ (resp., $\Phi(\tau) \in \operatorname{Sym} \mathsf{C}_Q \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$ is of order 3).

Proposition 3.6.1. *Let $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ be the Niemeier lattice with $Q = D_4^6$. We have three automorphisms $\varphi^{(6)}$, $\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}$, $\omega^{(6)} \in G_0(L) : G_1(L)$ which satisfy* (3.1.1)*, and act on $Q = D_4^6$ as:*

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6) \overset{\varphi^{(6)}}{\longmapsto} (\varphi(\gamma_1), \varphi(\gamma_2), \varphi(\gamma_3), \varphi(\gamma_4), \varphi(\gamma_5), \varphi(\gamma_6)),$$

$$(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3,\gamma_4,\gamma_5,\gamma_6) \overset{\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}}{\longmapsto} (\varphi(\gamma_1),\varphi(\gamma_2),\varphi(\gamma_3),\omega(\gamma_4),\omega(\gamma_5),\omega(\gamma_6)),$$
$$(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\gamma_3,\gamma_4,\gamma_5,\gamma_6) \overset{\omega^{(6)}}{\longmapsto} (\omega(\gamma_1),\omega(\gamma_2),\omega(\gamma_3),\omega(\gamma_4),\omega(\gamma_5),\omega(\gamma_6)),$$

respectively. We have $\operatorname{rank} L^{\varphi^{(6)}} = 0$, $\operatorname{rank} L^{\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}} = 6$, $\operatorname{rank} L^{\omega^{(6)}} = 12$, *and also* $G_1(L) = \langle\omega^{(6)}\rangle$. *Moreover, if* $\tau \in G_0(L) : G_1(L)$ *satisfies* (3.1.1), *then* τ *is conjugate to exactly one of the automorphisms above.*

Proof. By the same argument as in [SS, §4.2], we see that $\omega^{(6)}$ preserves the glue code L/Q (note that the actions of ω and φ on D_4^*/D_4 are same). Thus, $\omega^{(6)} \in \operatorname{Aut} L$. Since $\varphi \in W(D_4)\omega$, it follows immediately that $\varphi^{(6)}$ and $\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}$ are contained in $G_0(L)\omega^{(6)} = W(Q)\omega^{(6)} \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$; indeed, $\varphi^{(6)}$ and $\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}$ are nothing but σ_2, $\sigma_3 \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ as in §2.4, respectively. The equalities on the ranks of the fixed-point lattices follow immediately from Lemma 3.3.2 and (2.2.3). Also, $G_1(L) = \langle\omega^{(6)}\rangle$ is an immediate consequence of the fact that $G_1(L) = \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Now, let us show that if $\tau \in G_0(L) : G_1(L)$ satisfies (3.1.1), then τ is conjugate to one of $\varphi^{(6)}$, $\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}$, and $\omega^{(6)}$. Write τ as: $\tau = \tau_0\tau_1$ with $\tau_0 \in G_0(L)$ and $\tau_1 \in G_1(L)$. Because $G_1(L) = \langle\omega^{(6)}\rangle$ as shown above, and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$, we have $\tau_1 = \omega^{(6)}$ or $(\omega^{(6)})^{-1}$.

Claim 1. $(\omega^{(6)})^{-1}$ *is conjugate to* $\omega^{(6)}$ *in* $H(L) = \operatorname{Aut} L \cap \big(G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)\big)$.

Proof of Claim 1. We know from [CS, p. 408 and Chapter 18, §4, IX] that the glue code L/Q forms the $[6,3,4]$ hexacode (see [CS, Chapter 3, §2.5, (2.5.2)]). Then, the group $H(L)$ is isomorphic to the (nonsplit) group extension $3.\mathfrak{S}_6$ of $\mathfrak{S}_6 \cong G_2(L)$ by $\mathbb{Z}_3 \cong G_1(L)$ as mentioned after [CS, Chapter 3, §2.5, (66)]. We see from the character table of $H(L) \cong 3.\mathfrak{S}_6$ (which we can obtain by the computer program "MAGMA") that $H(L)$ has 3 conjugacy classes of order 3 elements, having 2, 120, 120 elements, respectively. Since $G_1(L) = \big\{1, \omega^{(6)}, (\omega^{(6)})^{-1}\big\}$ is a normal subgroup of $H(L)$, it follows immediately that $\big\{\omega^{(6)}, (\omega^{(6)})^{-1}\big\}$ is one of the three conjugacy classes of order 3 elements. Thus, $(\omega^{(6)})^{-1}$ is conjugate to $\omega^{(6)}$ in $H(L)$. ∎

Let $h \in H(L)$ be such that $h^{-1}(\omega^{(6)})^{-1}h = \omega^{(6)}$. Here we should remark that $g^{-1}\tau g$ is contained in $G_0(L) : G_1(L)$ and satisfies (3.1.1) for all $g \in \operatorname{Aut} L$, since $G_0(L) : G_1(L) \lhd \operatorname{Aut} L$ and $G_0(L) \lhd \operatorname{Aut} L$. Thus, by replacing τ by $h^{-1}\tau h = (h^{-1}\tau_0 h)(h^{-1}\tau_1 h)$ if necessary, we may assume from the beginning that $\tau_1 = \omega^{(6)}$.

Now, let $\mathsf{C}_Q = \big\{Q_1, \dots, Q_6\big\}$. For each $1 \le m \le 6$, we have $\tau|_{Q_m} = (\tau_0|_{Q_m})\omega \in W(D_4)\omega$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2.2 (1), $\tau|_{Q_m}$ is conjugate to either ω or φ in P for each $1 \le m \le 6$. Then we see from Lemma 3.2.2 (1) and (2.2.3) that $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0$ (resp., $= 2$) if and only if $\tau|_{Q_m}$ is conjugate to φ (resp., ω). Because $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$, it can be easily checked that

$$\#\big\{1 \le m \le 6 \mid \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0\big\} = 0,\ 3,\ \text{or } 6.$$

If $\#\{1 \leq m \leq 6 \mid \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0\} = 3$, then we may assume that

$$\operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq 3, \\ 2 & \text{for } 4 \leq m \leq 6. \end{cases} \tag{3.6.1}$$

Indeed, we first claim that

Claim 2. *Let $g \in H(L)$ be such that $\pi_2(g) \in G_2(L) \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$ is contained in $\mathfrak{A}_6 \lhd \mathfrak{S}_6$. Then, $g^{-1}\omega^{(6)}g = \omega^{(6)}$.*

Proof of Claim 2. Remark that $H(L)$ acts on $G_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ by conjugation since $G_1(L) \lhd H(L)$. Thus we obtain a group homomorphism $H(L) \to \operatorname{Aut} G_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, which induces a group homomorphism $G_2(L) \cong H(L)/G_1(L) \to \operatorname{Aut} G_1(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence, $\mathfrak{A}_6 \lhd \mathfrak{S}_6 \cong G_2(L)$ is contained in the kernel of this group homomorphism. Thus we have proved Claim 2. ∎

Now, let us assume that $\{1 \leq m \leq 6 \mid \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0\} = \{a, b, c\}$. Because the action of $\mathfrak{A}_6$ on a set of 6 elements (such as C_Q) is 4-transitive, there exists $g_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_6$ ($\lhd \mathfrak{S}_6 \cong G_2(L)$) such that $g_2(1) = a$, $g_2(2) = b$, $g_2(3) = c$. Let $g \in H(L)$ be such that $\pi_2(g) = g_2$; by Claim 1, we have $g^{-1}\omega^{(6)}g = \omega^{(6)}$, and hence

$$g^{-1}\tau g = (g^{-1}\tau_0 g)(g^{-1}\tau_1 g) = (g^{-1}\tau_0 g)(g^{-1}\omega^{(6)}g) = \underbrace{(g^{-1}\tau_0 g)}_{\in G_0(L)}\omega^{(6)}.$$

Also, we see that $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^{g^{-1}\tau g} = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq 3$, and $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^{g^{-1}\tau g} = 2$ for $4 \leq m \leq 6$. Thus, by replacing τ by $g^{-1}\tau g$, we may assume (3.6.1).

We see from Lemma 3.2.2 (2) that for each $1 \leq m \leq 6$, if $\operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0$ (resp., $= 2$), or equivalently, if $\tau|_{Q_m}$ is conjugate to φ (resp., ω), then there exists $y_m \in W(D_4)$ such that $y_m^{-1}(\tau|_{Q_m})y_m = \varphi$ (resp., ω); set $y := \prod_{m=1}^6 y_m \in G_1(L)$. Then, for each $1 \leq m \leq 6$,

$$(y^{-1}\tau y)|_{Q_m} = y_m^{-1}(\tau|_{Q_m})y_m = \begin{cases} \varphi & \text{if } \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 0, \\ \omega & \text{if } \operatorname{rank} Q_m^\tau = 2. \end{cases}$$

By (3.6.1), we see that $y^{-1}\tau y$ is equal to $\omega^{(6)}$, $\varphi^{(3)}\omega^{(3)}$, or $\varphi^{(6)}$. Thus we have proved the proposition. □

Proposition 3.6.2. *Let $L = \mathrm{Ni}(Q)$ be the Niemeier lattice with $Q = D_4^6$. We have two automorphisms σ, $\sigma' \in \operatorname{Aut} L \setminus \big(G_0(L) : G_1(L)\big)$ which satisfy (3.1.1), and act on $Q = D_4^6$ as:*

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6) \overset{\sigma'}{\longmapsto} (\psi(\gamma_1), \varphi(\gamma_2), \varphi^{-1}(\gamma_3), \gamma_6, \varphi^{-1}(\gamma_4), \varphi(\gamma_5)),$$
$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6) \overset{\sigma}{\longmapsto} (\gamma_1, \omega(\gamma_2), \omega^{-1}(\gamma_3), \gamma_6, \omega^{-1}(\gamma_4), \omega(\gamma_5)),$$

respectively. We have $\operatorname{rank} L^{\sigma'} = 6$ and $\operatorname{rank} L^\sigma = 12$. Moreover, if $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L \setminus \big(G_0(L) : G_1(L)\big)$ satisfies (3.1.1), then τ is conjugate to σ or σ' as above.

Proof. The map σ' is nothing but $\sigma_4 \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ (see §2.4). Because $G_0(L) = W(Q) = \prod_{m=1}^{6} W(Q_m) \preceq \operatorname{Aut} L$, and because $\varphi \in W(D_4)\omega$ and $\psi \in W(D_4)$, we see that $\sigma \in W(Q)\sigma' \subset \operatorname{Aut} L$. Since σ is a composition of Dynkin diagram automorphisms and a permutation of components, we see that $\sigma \in G_1(Q) : G_2(Q)$ with the notation in §2.1. Hence, by (2.1.6), we have $\sigma \in H(L)$. The equalities on the ranks of the fixed-point lattices follow immediately from Lemma 3.3.2, along with (2.2.3).

Now, let us show that if $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L \setminus \big(G_0(L) : G_1(L)\big)$ satisfies (3.1.1), then τ is conjugate to either σ or σ'. Since $G_2(L) \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$, we see that $\Phi(\tau)$ acts on the set C_Q (of 6 elements) as a 3-cycle or a product of two mutually commutative 3-cycles; in the former case (resp., the later case), $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes 3 elements (resp., 0 element) in C_Q. If $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes no element in C_Q, then it follows from Lemma 3.3.2 that $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} D_4^2 = 8$, which contradicts (3.1.1). Thus we conclude that $\Phi(\tau)$ fixes 3 elements, that is, $\Phi(\tau)$ acts on C_Q as a 3-cycle.

Write τ as: $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H$ with $\tau_0 \in G_0(L)$ and $\tau_H \in H(L)$; note that τ_H is of order 3, and $\Phi(\tau_H)$ acts on C_Q as a 3-cycle. Recall that $H(L)$ has 3 conjugacy classes of order 3 elements, having 2, 120, 120 elements, respectively (see the proof of Claim 1 in the proof of Proposition 3.6.1). Furthermore we see from the character table of $H(L) \cong 3.\mathfrak{S}_6$ that one of these conjugacy classes (having 120 elements) consists of all order 3 elements which act on C_Q as 3-cycles; notice that $\sigma \in H(L)$ is contained in this conjugacy class. Thus, τ_H is conjugate to the σ above in $H(L)$. Because $G_0(L) \lhd \operatorname{Aut} L$, we may assume from the beginning that $\tau_H = \sigma$.

Now, let $\mathsf{C}_Q := \big\{Q_1, \dots, Q_6\big\}$. Because $\tau = \tau_0\tau_H = \tau_0\sigma$, it follows from Lemma 3.3.2, along with Lemma 3.2.2 (1) and (2.2.3), that

$$6\mathbb{Z} \ni \operatorname{rank} L^\tau = \operatorname{rank} Q^\tau = \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_1^\tau}_{=2\text{ or }4} + \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_2^\tau}_{=0\text{ or }2} + \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_3^\tau}_{=0\text{ or }2} + \underbrace{\operatorname{rank} Q_4}_{=4}$$

Therefore, $\big(\operatorname{rank} Q_1^\tau, \operatorname{rank} Q_2^\tau, \operatorname{rank} Q_3^\tau\big) = (2,0,0)$ or $(4,2,2)$. Let us verify that τ is conjugate to σ' in the former case; it can be shown similarly that τ is conjugate to σ in the latter case. Observe that $\tau|_{Q_1} \in P$ (resp., $\tau|_{Q_2} \in P$, $\tau|_{Q_3} \in P$) is conjugate to ψ (resp., φ, φ^{-1}) in P. By Lemma 3.2.2 (2), there exists $y_1 \in W(D_4) \cong G_0(Q_1)$ (resp., $y_2 \in W(D_4) \cong G_0(Q_2)$, $y_3 \in W(D_4) \cong G_0(Q_3)$) such that $y_1^{-1}\big(\tau|_{Q_1}\big)y_1 = \psi$ (resp., $y_2^{-1}\big(\tau|_{Q_2}\big)y_2 = \varphi^{-1}$, $y_3^{-1}\big(\tau|_{Q_3}\big)y_3 = \varphi$). Set $y := \prod_{m=1}^{3} y_m \in G_0(L)$. Then we see that $(y^{-1}\tau y)|_{Q_m} = \sigma'|_{Q_m}$ for $1 \le m \le 3$. Furthermore, we deduce by Lemma 3.3.3 (see also the argument at the end of the proof of Proposition 3.5.2) that this $y^{-1}\tau y$ is conjugate to σ'. Thus we have proved Proposition 3.6.2. □

Combining Propositions 3.6.1 and 3.6.2, we see that $\#\mathcal{C}_0 = 1 + 0 = 1$, $\#\mathcal{C}_6 = 1 + 1 = 2$, $\#\mathcal{C}_{12} = 1 + 1 = 2$, $\#\mathcal{C}_{18} = 0 + 0 = 0$ in the case of $Q = D_4^6$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.2 (2).

4 Review on Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction.

In this section, we review lattice VOAs, twisted modules over lattice VOAs, and Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction; for details, see [LL, §6.4 and §6.5] (and also [SS, §2.1]), [L, DL2] (and also [SS, §2.2]), and [M] (and also [SS, §2.3]), respectively. Here we use the notation in [SS, §2].

4.1 Lattice VOAs. Let L be a positive-definite, even lattice with $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot\,,\, \cdot \rangle$, and let $V_L := M(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{L\}$ be the lattice VOA associated with L, with the vertex operator

$$Y(\cdot\,,\, z) : V_L \to (\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\, V_L)[\![z, z^{-1}]\!],\ a \mapsto Y(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1},$$

where $M(1)$ is the free boson VOA associated to $\mathfrak{h} := L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ (regarded as an abelian Lie algebra), and $\mathbb{C}\{L\}$ is the twisted group ring of L (for details, see [SS, §2.1]). Recall that V_L is spanned by the elements of the form: $h_k(-n_k) \cdots h_1(-n_1)1 \otimes e^{\alpha}$ with $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\alpha \in L$; the weight of this element is equal to

$$n_k + \cdots + n_1 + \frac{\langle \alpha,\, \alpha \rangle}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

In particular, the weight one subspace $(V_L)_1$ of V_L is spanned by $\big\{h(-1)1 \otimes e^0 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\big\} \cup \big\{1 \otimes e^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\big\}$, where $\Delta := \big\{\alpha \in L \mid \langle \alpha,\, \alpha \rangle = 2\big\}$.

4.2 Twisted modules over lattice VOAs. Let L be a positive-definite, even lattice with $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot\,,\, \cdot \rangle$. If $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ is of odd order, then there exists a τ-invariant 2-cocycle $\varepsilon_0 : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}$, where $s = 2|\tau|$ (see, for example, the argument at the beginning of [SS, §2.2]). Hence each $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ of odd order induces a VOA automorphism of V_L, denoted also by τ, of the same order such that

$$\tau\big(h_k(-n_k) \cdots h_1(-n_1)1 \otimes e^{\alpha}\big) := (\tau h_k)(-n_k) \cdots (\tau h_1)(-n_1)1 \otimes e^{\tau\alpha} \tag{4.2.1}$$

for $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\alpha \in L$.

Assume that L is a Niemeier lattice, and $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ is of order 3. Since V_L is holomorphic and C_2-cofinite, we see from [DLM, Theorem 10.3] that there exists a unique irreducible τ-twisted V_L-module, which we denote by $V_L(\tau)$. We know from [L, DL2] (see also [SS, §2.2]) the following realization of $V_L(\tau)$. Let ζ be a primitive third root of unity, and set $\mathfrak{h}_{(m)} = \big\{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \tau(h) = \zeta^m h\big\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$; note that $\mathfrak{h}_{(m)} = \mathfrak{h}_{(m+3)}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the τ-twisted affinization $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ and its Lie subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau] := \bigoplus_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}} \big(\mathfrak{h}_{(3n)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}t^n\big) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \quad \text{(with } \mathbf{k} \text{ a central element)},$$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]_{\geq 0} := \bigoplus_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \big(\mathfrak{h}_{(3n)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}t^n\big) \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

respectively, and then define the "τ-twisted" free bosonic space $M(1)[\tau] := \mathrm{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]_{\geq 0}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}[\tau]} \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, following [L, DL2] (see also [SS, §2.2]), we define a certain central extension $\widehat{L}_\tau$ of L by the cyclic group $\langle\kappa\rangle$ of order $2|\tau| = 6$. Let $N := \{\alpha \in L \mid \langle \alpha, \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\rangle = \{0\}\}$, and $\widehat{N}_\tau \preceq \widehat{L}_\tau$ the inverse image of $N \subset L$ under the canonical projection $\widehat{L}_\tau \twoheadrightarrow L$. By [L, DL2], there exists a unique finite-dimensional, irreducible $\widehat{N}_\tau$-module $T(\tau)$ such that $M(1)[\tau] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\tau)$, with $U(\tau) := \mathrm{Ind}_{\widehat{N}_\tau}^{\widehat{L}_\tau} T(\tau)$, can be endowed with an irreducible τ-twisted V_L-module structure; we have $V_L(\tau) \cong M(1)[\tau] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\tau)$ by the uniqueness and irreducibility of τ-twisted modules.

The τ-twisted vertex operator for $V_L(\tau)$ is denoted by

$$Y_\tau(\cdot\,,\, z) : V_L \to (\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\, V_L(\tau))[\![z^{1/3},\, z^{-1/3}]\!], \quad a \mapsto Y_\tau(a,\, z) = \sum_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}.$$

Notice that $V_L(\tau)$ is spanned by the elements of the form: $h_k(-n_k)\cdots h_1(-n_1)1 \otimes (g \cdot t)$ with $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $h_1 \in \mathfrak{h}_{(-3n_1)}, \ldots, h_k \in \mathfrak{h}_{(-3n_k)}$, $g \in \widehat{L}_\tau$, and $t \in T(\tau)$; the weight of this element is equal to

$$n_k + \cdots + n_1 + \frac{\langle \overline{g}_{(0)},\, \overline{g}_{(0)}\rangle}{2} + \rho, \tag{4.2.2}$$

where

$$\rho := \frac{1}{18}(\dim \mathfrak{h}_{(1)} + \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(2)}) = \frac{1}{18}(\mathrm{rank}\, L - \mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau), \tag{4.2.3}$$

the map $\overline{\cdot} : \widehat{L}_\tau \twoheadrightarrow L$ is the canonical projection from $\widehat{L}_\tau$ onto L, and for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $h_{(m)} \in \mathfrak{h}_{(m)}$ denotes the image of h under the orthogonal projection from $\mathfrak{h}$ onto $\mathfrak{h}_{(m)}$. Remark that ρ is the top weight of $V_L(\tau)$, that is, $V_L(\tau) = \bigoplus_{n \in (1/3)\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} V_L(\tau)_{n+\rho}$.

4.3 Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction. Let L be a Niemeier lattice, and let $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ be such that $|\tau| = 3$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$; by (4.2.3), for each $r = 1,\, 2$, the top weight ρ of the irreducible τ^r-twisted V_L-module $V_L(\tau^r)$ is equal to $1/3$ (resp., $2/3,\, 1,\, 4/3$) if $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 18$ (resp., $12,\, 6,\, 0$). Set $V_L(\tau^r)_{\mathbb{Z}} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_L(\tau^r)_n$ for $r = 1,\, 2$, and then define

$$\widetilde{V}_L^\tau := V_L^\tau \oplus V_L(\tau)_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus V_L(\tau^2)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

where V_L^τ is the fixed-point subVOA of V_L under $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, V_L$. We know the following theorem from [M, §3].

Theorem 4.3.1. *Keep the notation and setting above. We can give $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ a VOA structure of central charge $24 = \mathrm{rank}\, L$. Furthermore, $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is holomorphic and C_2-cofinite.*

Remark 4.3.2.

(1) The holomorphic VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau = V_L^\tau \oplus V_L(\tau)_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus V_L(\tau^2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-graded, simple current extension of the τ-fixed subVOA V_L^τ of V_L; for the definition and properties of simple current extensions, see [LY, §2] for example. Thus the linear automorphism ϕ of $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ defined by: $\phi|_{V_L^\tau} = 1$, $\phi|_{V_L(\tau)_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \zeta$, and $\phi|_{V_L(\tau^2)_{\mathbb{Z}}} = \zeta^2$ is a VOA automorphism of $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$.

(2) Let $\sigma, \tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ be of order 3. If σ and τ are conjugate to each other in $\operatorname{Aut} L$, then $\sigma, \tau \in \operatorname{Aut} V_L$ are also conjugate to each other in $\operatorname{Aut} V_L$. Indeed, we see from [DN, Theorem 2.1] that σ, τ are contained in $O(\widehat{L}) = \operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2).\operatorname{Aut} L \preceq \operatorname{Aut} V_L$. So it suffices to show that every element in $\operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)\sigma$ of order 3 is conjugate to each other. Let $x\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)\sigma$ be of order 3, with $x \in \operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $x \neq 1$; we show that $x\sigma$ is conjugate to σ in $\operatorname{Aut} V_L$. Indeed, we see that σ is of order 3, and $(x\sigma^{-1})^3 = 1$. Hence, $(\sigma x\sigma^{-1})^{-1}(x\sigma)(\sigma x\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma(x\sigma^{-1})^3 = \sigma$. Thus we have shown that $x\sigma$ is conjugate to σ in $\operatorname{Aut} V_L$, as desired.

We denote by

$$\widetilde{Y}(\cdot\,,\, z) : \widetilde{V}_L^\tau \to (\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{V}_L^\tau)[\![z,\, z^{-1}]\!], \quad a \mapsto \widetilde{Y}(a,\, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$$

the vertex operator for the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$; remark that for $a \in V_L^\tau$,

$$\widetilde{Y}(a,\, z) = \begin{cases} Y(a,\, z) & \text{on } V_L^\tau, \\ Y_\tau(a,\, z) & \text{on } V_L(\tau)_{\mathbb{Z}}, \\ Y_{\tau^2}(a,\, z) & \text{on } V_L(\tau^2)_{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.3.3. *Keep the notation and setting above. We set*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_0 &:= \left\{h(-1)1 \otimes e^0 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\right\} \subset (V_L^\tau)_1; \\ \mathfrak{H}_1 &:= \left\{h(-1/3)1 \otimes t \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(-1)},\, t \in T(\tau)\right\} \subset (V_L(\tau))_{\rho+1/3}; \\ \mathfrak{H}_2 &:= \left\{h(-1/3)1 \otimes t \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(-2)},\, t \in T(\tau^2)\right\} \subset (V_L(\tau^2))_{\rho+1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a \in \mathfrak{H}_0$. Then the 0-th operator $a_0 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}_0$ trivially. Also, for each $r = 1, 2$, the 0-th operator $a_0 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L(\tau^r)$ acts on both of $\mathfrak{H}_r$ and the top weight subspace $V_L(\tau^r)_\rho$ trivially.

Proof. Let $a \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and $r = 1, 2$. First, it is obvious from the definition of the vertex operator on V_L (or, is well-known) that $a_0 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}_0$ trivially. Next we know from [SS, Lemma 2.2.2 (1)] that $a_0 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L(\tau^r)$ acts on $V_L(\tau^r)_\rho$ trivially. Finally, it follows immediately from [SS, (2.2.8) and (2.2.9)] that $a_0 \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L(\tau^r)$ acts on $\mathfrak{H}_r$ trivially. Thus we have proved the lemma. □

4.4 Lie algebra of the weight one subspace. Let $V = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} V_n$ be an arbitrary VOA, with $Y(\cdot\,,\, z) : V \to (\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V)[\![z, z^{-1}]\!]$, $a \mapsto Y(a,\, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$, as the vertex operator. If $\dim V_0 = 1$, then the weight one subspace V_1 has a Lie algebra structure with the Lie bracket defined by $[a, b] := a_0 b$ for $a, b \in V_1$. When the Lie algebra V_1 is a semisimple Lie algebra, we define the level of a simple component of V_1 as follows. Assume that $\mathfrak{s} \subset V_1$ is a simple ideal of type X_m. Let $\kappa_{\mathfrak{s}}(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{s}$ normalized so that the norm

of a long root of $\mathfrak{s}$ is equal to 2. Then there exists $\ell_{\mathfrak{s}} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for every $x, y \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$[x_u, y_v] = (x_0 y)_{u+v} + \ell_{\mathfrak{s}} u \delta_{u+v,0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{s}}(x, y) \mathrm{id}_V \qquad \text{in } \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V. \tag{4.4.1}$$

We call $\ell_{\mathfrak{s}}$ the level of $\mathfrak{s}$, and say that $\mathfrak{s}$ is of type $X_{m,\ell_{\mathfrak{s}}}$

Now, keep the notation and setting in §4.3. Since the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ in Theorem 4.3.1 satisfies $\dim(\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_0 = \dim(V_L^\tau)_0 = 1$, the weight one subspace $(\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1$ has a Lie algebra structure. Because $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is holomorphic and C_2-cofinite, it follows immediately from [DM1, Theorem 3] that the Lie algebra $(\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1$ is either $\{0\}$, the abelian Lie algebra of dimension 24, or a semisimple Lie algebra of rank less than or equal to 24.

Remark 4.4.1. For simplicity of notation, we often set

$$\mathfrak{g} := (\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1 = \underbrace{(V_L^\tau)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_0} \oplus \underbrace{V_L(\tau)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_1} \oplus \underbrace{V_L(\tau^2)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_2}. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Because $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau = V_L^\tau \oplus V_L(\tau)_{\mathbb{Z}} \oplus V_L(\tau^2)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading of the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ (see Remark 4.3.2 (1)), we see that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, the restriction of the VOA automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}\, \widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ (see Remark 4.3.2 (1)) to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is nothing but the Lie algebra automorphism corresponding to the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading (see [K, §8.1]).

5 VOA structure of $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$.

5.1 Main result in §5. By the following theorem, we conclude that the VOAs obtained in [M] and [SS] are all of non-lattice VOAs which we can obtain by applying Miyamoto's $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to a Niemeier lattice and its automorphism.

Theorem 5.1.1. *Let L be a Niemeier lattice, and let $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\, L$ be such that $|\tau| = 3$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau \in 6\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ be the holomorphic VOA obtained by applying Theorem 4.3.1 to these L and τ.*

(1) *If τ is contained in the Weyl group $G_0(L)$, then $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a Niemeier lattice.*

(2) *Assume that $L = \Lambda$, the Leech lattice; note that $\mathrm{rank}\, \Lambda^\tau \in \{0, 6, 12\}$ by table (3.1.4). If $\mathrm{rank}\, \Lambda^\tau = 0$, then $(\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau)_1 = \{0\}$ (see also Remark 5.1.2 below). Otherwise, $\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau \cong V_\Lambda$.*

(3) *Assume that $L \neq \Lambda$ and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$; note that $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau \in \{0, 6, 12\}$ by table (3.1.4).*

  (3a) *If $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 0$ or 6, then $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to one of the holomorphic non-lattice VOAs obtained in [M] and [SS].*

  (3b) *If $\mathrm{rank}\, L^\tau = 12$, then $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau \cong V_L$.*

Remark 5.1.2. If $L = \Lambda$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, \Lambda^\tau = 0$, then $\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau$ would be isomorphic to the Moonshine VOA $V^\natural$ (see [M, §3.1]).

5.2 Proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (1) – case of $\tau \in G_0(L)$. We first assume that L is a positive-definite, even lattice. Let V_L be the lattice VOA associated to L. For each $a \in (V_L)_1$, $\exp a_0$ is a VOA automorphism of V_L (see [DN, §2.3]), where $a_0 \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V_L$ denotes the 0-th operator of $a \in V_L$. Set

$$G := \left\langle \exp a_0 \mid a \in (V_L)_1 \right\rangle \preceq \mathrm{Aut}\, V_L;$$

notice that the restriction of an element in G to $(V_L)_1$ is an inner automorphism of the Lie algebra $(V_L)_1$ in the sense of [H, §2.3].

The next lemma and Lemma 5.2.3 are well-known (or easy exercises for experts), but we give proofs for them for completion.

Lemma 5.2.1. *Keep the notation and setting above. Let $\tau \in G$ be of finite order. Then the τ-fixed subVOA V_L^τ of V_L is isomorphic to a lattice VOA.*

Proof. We first remark that $(V_L)_1$ is reductive. By [K, Proposition 8.1], there exists a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}'$ of $(V_L)_1$ such that $\tau = \exp h_0$ for some $h \in \mathfrak{h}'$. Since Cartan subalgebras of $(V_L)_1$ are conjugate under G, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(\mathfrak{h}')$ is identical to the canonical Cartan subalgebra $\left\{h(-1)1 \otimes e^0 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\right\}$ of $(V_L)_1$. Set $\tau' = g\tau g^{-1} = \exp g(h)_0$. Since $g(h)$ is contained in the canonical Cartan subalgebra above, we deduce that τ' acts on $M(1) \otimes e^\beta$ as the scalar multiple by $\exp\langle g(h), \beta\rangle$ for each $\beta \in L$. Because $|\tau'| = |\tau| < \infty$, it follows immediately that $\left(\exp\langle g(h), \beta\rangle\right)^{|\tau|} = 1$ for every $\beta \in L$. Set $v := |\tau| g(h)/2\pi\sqrt{-1}$; since $\exp\langle g(h), \beta\rangle = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\langle v, \beta\rangle/|\tau|\right)$ for $\beta \in L$, we see that $\langle v, \beta\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $\beta \in L$, and that τ' acts trivially on $M(1) \otimes e^\beta$ if and only if $\langle v, \beta\rangle \in |\tau|\mathbb{Z}$. So, let us set $J := \left\{\beta \in L \mid \langle v, \beta\rangle \in |\tau|\mathbb{Z}\right\} \subset L$; clearly it is a sublattice of L. Since $\langle v, L\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}$ as seen above, we have $|\tau|L \subset J$, and hence $J \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore we conclude that $V_L^{\tau'} = V_J$. Since τ' is conjugate to τ under $G \preceq \mathrm{Aut}\, V_L$ by the definition, it follows immediately that $V_L^\tau \cong V_L^{\tau'}$. Combining these, we obtain $V_L^\tau \cong V_J$, thereby completing the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 5.2.2. It is well-known that if $V_L \cong V_{L'}$ then $L \cong L'$. Also, if $V_L^\tau \cong V_J$, then J is isomorphic to a sublattice of L with $\#(L/J) = |\tau|$.

Lemma 5.2.3. *Let J be a positive-definite, even lattice. If U is a simple current extension of the lattice VOA V_J, then U is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a sublattice of J^*.*

Proof. By [D], every irreducible V_J-module is isomorphic to $V_{\lambda+J}$ for some $\lambda + J \in J^*/J$. Hence there exists a subset $S \subset J^*/J$ such that $U \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda+J \in S} V_{\lambda+J}$. By the fusion product $V_{\lambda+J} \boxtimes V_{\mu+J} \cong V_{\lambda+\mu+J}$ (see [DL1, Corollary 12.10]), we deduce that the subset S is a subgroup of J^*/J. Hence there exists a sublattice $M \subset J^*$ such that $S = M/J$. By the uniqueness of simple current extensions (see [DM2, Proposition 5.3]), we have $U \cong V_M$ as VOAs. Thus we have proved the lemma. □

Combining these lemmas, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2.4. *Let L be a positive-definite, even lattice, and let $\tau \in G$ be of finite order. If $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is a simple current extension of V_L^τ, then it is isomorphic to a lattice VOA.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (1). We deduce from [K, Lemma 3.8] and [DN, Lemma 2.5] that $\tau \in G = \langle \exp a_0 \mid a \in (V_L)_1 \rangle$. It follows immediately from Remark 4.3.2 (1) and Proposition 5.2.4 that the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to a lattice VOA. Because the central charge of $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is equal to 24, the rank of the lattice is equal to 24. Furthermore, because $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is holomorphic, it follows immediately that the lattice is unimodular. Hence the lattice is a Niemeier lattice. Thus we have proved Theorem 5.1.1 (1). □

5.3 Proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (2) – case of the Leech lattice. Recall that the Leech lattice Λ is a unique Niemeier lattice whose root lattice Q is identical to $\{0\}$.

Now, let us start to prove Theorem 5.1.1 (2). The assertion for the case of $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 0$ has been proved in [M, §3.1]. Assume that $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 6$ or 12. For simplicity of notation, set

$$\mathfrak{g} := (\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau)_1 = \underbrace{(V_\Lambda^\tau)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_0} \oplus \underbrace{V_\Lambda(\tau)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_1} \oplus \underbrace{V_\Lambda(\tau^2)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_2};$$

note that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{h(-1)1 \otimes e^0 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\} = \mathfrak{H}_0$ (with notation in Lemma 4.3.3) since $Q = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ by Lemma 4.3.3, and $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = \operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau \in \{6, 12\}$.

We first assume that $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 6$. Then we see from (4.2.3) that the top weights of $V_\Lambda(\tau)$ and $V_\Lambda(\tau^2)$ are both equal to 1, and hence $\mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are the top weight subspaces of $V_\Lambda(\tau)$ and $V_\Lambda(\tau^2)$, respectively. Therefore it follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.3 that $[\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_1] = [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_2] = \{0\}$, which implies that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a (nontrivial) abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus we conclude by [DM1, Theorem 3] that $(\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau)_1$ is an abelian Lie algebra of rank 24, and $\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau \cong V_\Lambda$, as desired.

We next assume that $\operatorname{rank} \Lambda^\tau = 12$. By [DM1, Theorem 3], $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian or semisimple. Suppose, by contradiction, that $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple. We deduce from [SS, (2.2.8) and (2.2.9)] that $\operatorname{ad} a = a_0$ is diagonalizable on $\mathfrak{g}$ for every element $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{H}_0$. Thus, by [K, Lemma 8.1 b)], the centralizer $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2$ as in Lemma 4.3.3; note that $\mathfrak{H}_r \subset (V_L(\tau^r))_1$ for $r = 1, 2$ since $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$, and hence $\rho = 2/3$. It follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.3 that $\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2 \subset \mathfrak{z}$, and hence $\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the root space of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathbb{C})$ (with respect to $\mathfrak{z}$). Then we have $[\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2, \mathfrak{g}^\alpha] = \{0\}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{z}^*$; indeed, let $x \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, and let $h \in \mathfrak{H}_1$. If $\alpha(h) = 0$, then we have $[h, x] = \alpha(h)x = 0$. Assume that $\alpha(h) \neq 0$. Write $x \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ as: $x = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$, with $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Since both $x_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ and $h \in \mathfrak{H}_1$

are contained in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{z}$, we see that $[h,\, x_0] = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$, we get

$$\alpha(h)x_0 + \alpha(h)x_1 + \alpha(h)x_2 = \alpha(h)x = [h,\, x] = \underbrace{[h,\, x_1]}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_2} + \underbrace{[h,\, x_2]}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_0}.$$

Since $\alpha(h)$ is assumed to be nonzero, we obtain $x_1 = 0$. Substituting this into the equality above, we get $x_0 = 0$, and then $x_2 = 0$. Thus, $x = 0$, and in particular, $[h,\, x] = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $[h,\, x] = 0$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{H}_2$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$ is a (nontrivial) abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, which contradicts the assumption that $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple. Hence we conclude by [DM1, Theorem 3] that $\mathfrak{g}$ is abelian, and $\widetilde{V}_\Lambda^\tau \cong V_\Lambda$, as desired. Thus we have proved Theorem 5.1.1 (2).

5.4 Proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (3) – case that $L \neq \Lambda$ and $\tau \notin G_0(L)$. Recall from §3 the classification of the automorphisms $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} L$ satisfying (3.1.1). If $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 0$ or 6, then we know from Theorem 3.1.2 (2ii) that τ is conjugate to one of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$. Thus, Theorem 5.1.1 (3a) follows immediately from Remark 4.3.2 (2).

In order to prove Theorem 5.1.1 (3b), we need the following lemma, which can be shown in exactly the same way as Lemma 3.3.2.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra, and let $\mathcal{I}_q$, $1 \le q \le 4$, be ideals of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{q=1}^4 \mathcal{I}_q$. Assume that a Lie algebra automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathcal{L}$ of order 3 acts on $\mathcal{L}$ as: $\phi(\mathcal{I}_1) = \mathcal{I}_2$, $\phi(\mathcal{I}_2) = \mathcal{I}_3$, $\phi(\mathcal{I}_3) = \mathcal{I}_1$, $\phi(\mathcal{I}_4) = \mathcal{I}_4$. Then the ϕ-fixed Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{L}^\phi$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{I}_1 \oplus \mathcal{I}_4^\phi$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (3b). Let Q be the root lattice of L. Since $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$ by assumption, we see from table (3.1.4) that the type of Q is one of the following: $A_5^4 D_4$ (see Proposition 3.5.2), and A_1^{24}, A_3^8, A_6^4, D_6^4, A_2^{12}, E_6^4 (see Proposition 3.5.3), and D_4^6 (see Propositions 3.6.1 and 3.6.2). By these propositions, along with Remark 4.3.2 (2), we may assume that τ acts on the set $\mathsf{C}_Q = \{Q_m \mid 1 \le m \le n\}$ of indecomposable components of Q as follows: there exists $0 \le k \le n/3$ such that for $1 \le m \le n$,

$$\tau(Q_m) = \begin{cases} Q_{m+k} & \text{if } 1 \le m \le 2k, \\ Q_{m-2k} & \text{if } 2k+1 \le m \le 3k, \\ Q_m & \text{if } 3k+1 \le m \le n, \end{cases} \tag{5.4.1}$$

and for each $3k+1 \le m \le n$, the restriction $\tau|_{Q_m} \in \operatorname{Aut} Q_m$ of τ to Q_m is one of the identity map, a conjugation of ω in P, and a conjugation of ω^{-1} in P (for the definitions of ω and P, see §2.2 and §3.2, respectively).

Claim 1. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 := (V_L^\tau)_1$ is a semisimple Lie algebra, with $\mathfrak{H}_0 = \{h(-1) \otimes e^0 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)}\}$ as a Cartan subalgebra.*

Proof of Claim 1. For each $1 \le m \le n$, let $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)$ be the simple ideal of $(V_L)_1$ corresponding to Q_m; we have $(V_L)_1 = \bigoplus_{m=1}^{n} \mathfrak{g}(Q_m)$. By (4.2.1), the isomorphism τ in (5.4.1) induces an automorphism $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} V_L$, which permutes the Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)$'s as in (5.4.1). Therefore it follows from Lemma 5.4.1 that

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V_L^\tau)_1 \cong \bigoplus_{m=1}^{k} \mathfrak{g}(Q_m) \oplus \bigoplus_{m=3k+1}^{n} \mathfrak{g}(Q_m)^\tau. \tag{5.4.2}$$

Because $\tau|_{Q_m} = \mathrm{id}$, ω, or ω^{-1} (up to conjugation) for each $3k+1 \le m \le n$, it follows immediately that $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)^\tau$ is either $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)$ or the simple Lie algebra of type G_2 for each $3k+1 \le m \le n$. Thus we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V_L^\tau)_1$ is semisimple. Also, we can easily check that $\mathfrak{H}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V_L^\tau)_1$ since $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut} V_L$ also permutes the canonical Cartan subalgebras $\{h(-1)1 \otimes e^0 \mid h \in Q_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_m$, $1 \le m \le n$, as in (5.4.1). ∎

For simplicity of notation, we set

$$\mathfrak{g} := (\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1 = \underbrace{(V_L^\tau)_1}_{=\mathfrak{g}_0} \oplus \underbrace{V_L(\tau)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_1} \oplus \underbrace{V_L(\tau^2)_1}_{=:\mathfrak{g}_2};$$

recall that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading of $\mathfrak{g}$ (see Remark 4.4.1)

Claim 2. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra of rank 24, and the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a Niemeier lattice.*

Proof of Claim 2. By [K, Lemma 8.1 b)], along with Claim 1, the centralizer $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We see from Lemma 4.3.3 that $\mathfrak{z}$ contains $\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$ with notation therein; notice that $\mathfrak{H}_1 \subset V_L(\tau)_1$ and $\mathfrak{H}_2 \subset V_L(\tau^2)_1$ since $\operatorname{rank} L^\tau = 12$, and hence $\rho = 2/3$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathfrak{z} &\ge \dim \mathfrak{H}_0 + \dim \mathfrak{H}_1 + \dim \mathfrak{H}_2 \\
&= \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} + \{\dim \mathfrak{h}_{(2)} \times \dim T(\tau)\} + \{\dim \mathfrak{h}_{(1)} \times \dim T(\tau^2)\} \\
&\ge \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} + \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(1)} + \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(2)} = \dim \mathfrak{h} = 24,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4.3}$$

which implies that $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g} \ge 24$; however, since $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g} \le 24$ by [DM1, Theorem 3], we get $\operatorname{rank} \mathfrak{g} = 24$. Because $\mathfrak{g}$ is not abelian (indeed, $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, and hence not abelian), we conclude by [DM1, Theorem 3] that $\mathfrak{g} = (\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1$ is a semisimple Lie algebra of rank 24, and the VOA $\widetilde{V}_L^\tau$ is isomorphic to the lattice VOA associated to a Niemeier lattice. Thus we have proved Claim 2. ∎

Let M be the Niemeier lattice such that $V_M \cong \widetilde{V}_L^\tau$, with the root lattice R; note that $(V_M)_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}$. To complete our proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (3b), we will verify that the type of the semisimple Lie algebra $(V_M)_1$ ($\cong \mathfrak{g}$), or equivalently, the type of the root lattice R of M is the same as the type of the root lattice Q of L.

First, let us recall from Remark 4.3.2 (1) that $V_M \cong \widetilde{V}_L^\tau = V_L^\tau \oplus V_L(\tau)_\mathbb{Z} \oplus V_L(\tau^2)_\mathbb{Z}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading of the VOA $V_M \cong \widetilde{V}_L^\tau$. Define $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut} V_M$ by: $\phi|_{V_L^\tau} = 1$, $\phi|_{V_L(\tau)_\mathbb{Z}} = \zeta$, and $\phi|_{V_L(\tau^2)_\mathbb{Z}} = \zeta^2$ (see Remark 4.3.2 (1)). By Remark 4.4.1, the restriction of ϕ to the Lie algebra $(V_M)_1 \cong (\widetilde{V}_L^\tau)_1 = \mathfrak{g}$, denoted also by ϕ, is nothing but the Lie algebra automorphism corresponding to the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$; in particular, $(V_M^\phi)_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}^\phi = \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Next, let $(V_M)_1 = \bigoplus_{q=1}^p \mathfrak{s}_q$ ($\cong \mathfrak{g}$) be the unique decomposition of $(V_M)_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}$ into its simple ideals (see [H, Theorem 5.2]); we should remark that $\mathfrak{s}_q$'s are all of simply-laced type. By the uniqueness of the decomposition, we see that the Lie algebra automorphism ϕ above naturally induces a permutation on the set $\{\mathfrak{s}_q \mid 1 \le q \le p\}$ of simple ideals; we may assume that

$$\phi(\mathfrak{s}_q) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{s}_{q+r} & \text{if } 1 \le q \le 2r, \\ \mathfrak{s}_{q-2r} & \text{if } 2r+1 \le q \le 3r, \\ \mathfrak{s}_q & \text{if } 3r+1 \le q \le p \end{cases} \tag{5.4.4}$$

for $1 \le q \le p$, with some $0 \le r \le p/3$. By Lemma 5.4.1,

$$(V_M^\phi)_1 \cong \bigoplus_{q=1}^{r} \mathfrak{s}_q \oplus \bigoplus_{q=3r+1}^{p} \mathfrak{s}_q^\phi \quad (\cong \mathfrak{g}^\phi = \mathfrak{g}_0). \tag{5.4.5}$$

Finally, recall the definition of $0 \le k \le n/3$ from (5.4.1).

Case 1. Assume that $k = 0$. Then we have $Q = D_4^6$ and $\tau = \omega^{(6)}$ (see Proposition 3.6.1), and hence by (5.4.2),

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \left(\mathfrak{g}(D_4)^\omega\right)^{\oplus 6} \cong \mathfrak{g}(G_2)^{\oplus 6}.$$

Combining this and (5.4.5), we obtain $\mathfrak{s}_q \cong \mathfrak{g}(G_2)$ for all $1 \le q \le r$. However, all $\mathfrak{s}_q$'s for $1 \le q \le r$ are of simply-laced type. Hence we get $r = 0$ and $p = 6$.

By [K, Proposition 8.1], for each $1 \le q \le 6$, there exists a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_q$ of $\mathfrak{s}_q$, a Dynkin diagram automorphism ψ_q of $\mathfrak{s}_q$ preserving $\mathfrak{t}_q$, and an element h_q in the ψ_q-fixed Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}_q^{\psi_q} \subset \mathfrak{t}_q$ such that

$$\phi|_{\mathfrak{s}_q} = \psi_q \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{3} h_q\right); \tag{5.4.6}$$

remark that the order of ψ_q is equal to 1 or 3, since so is $\phi|_{\mathfrak{s}_q}$. Suppose that ψ_q is the identity map for some $1 \le q \le 6$. Then we see that $\mathfrak{s}_q^\phi$ is a reductive Lie algebra of simply-laced type (see also [K, Lemma 8.1 c)]) since $\mathfrak{s}_q$ is a simple Lie algebra of simply-laced type. However, this contradicts the fact that $\mathfrak{s}_q^\phi \cong \mathfrak{g}(G_2)$. Thus, ψ_q is of order 3 for every $1 \le q \le 6$, which implies that $\mathfrak{s}_q$ is of type D_4 for every $1 \le q \le 6$. Thus we get $M = L$, as desired.

Case 2. Assume that $k > 0$. By (5.4.2), we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V_L^\tau)_1 \cong \bigoplus_{m=1}^{k} \mathfrak{g}(Q_m) \oplus \bigoplus_{m=3k+1}^{n} \mathfrak{g}(Q_m)^\tau.$$

We deduce from the definition that all $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)$'s for $1 \leq m \leq k$ (resp., all $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m)^\tau$'s for $3k+1 \leq m \leq n$) are simple ideals of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (V_L^\tau)_1 \subset V_L^\tau$ of level 3 (resp., of level 1). Similarly, in (5.4.4), observe that all $\mathfrak{s}_q$'s for $1 \leq q \leq r$ (resp., $3r+1 \leq q \leq p$) are simple ideals of $(V_M^\phi)_1 \subset V_M^\phi$ of level 3 (resp., 1). Here we should recall that $V_M^\phi \cong V_L^\tau$ as VOAs. Thus we obtain $k = r$, and $\mathfrak{g}(Q_m) \cong \mathfrak{s}_m$ for all $1 \leq m \leq k$, which implies that the root lattice R contains $\bigoplus_{m=1}^{3k} Q_m$ as its component. It follows immediately from the list of Niemeier lattices (see [CS, Chapter 16, Table 16.1] for example) that such a Niemeier lattice is unique. Thus we get $M = L$, as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.1 (3b). □

References

[ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, and R.A. Wilson, Atlas of finite groups, Oxford, Oxford University Press 1985.

[CS] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packing, lattices and groups, Third edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[D] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattice, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993), 245–265.

[DL1] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators, Progress in Mathematics Vol. 112, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[DL2] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 259–295.

[DLM] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.

[DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, Holomorphic vertex operator algebras of small central charge, *Pacific J. Math.* **213** (2004), 253–266.

[DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2004), 2989–3008.

[DN] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Automorphism groups and twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras, *in* "Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics", Contemp. Math. Vol. 248, pp.117-133, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[H] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1978.

[K] V.G. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990

[LY] C.H. Lam and H. Yamauchi, On the structure of framed vertex operator algebras and their pointwise frame stabilizers, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **277** (2008), 237–285.

[L] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **82** (1985), 8295–8299.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations, Progress in Mathematics Vol. 227, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[M] M. Miyamoto, A $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold theory of lattice vertex operator algebra and $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold constructions, *in* "Symmetries, Integrable Systems and Representations", Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics Vol. 40, pp.319–344, Springer-Verlag, London, 2013.

[SS] D. Sagaki and H. Shimakura, Application of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-orbifold construction to the lattice vertex operator algebras associated to Niemeier lattices, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:1302.4826.

[S] A.N. Schellekens, Meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 159–185.